

TRANSCRIPT

A Sovereignty Coalition Virtual Summit

SOVEREIGNTY SUMMIT V: WILL TRUMP 2.0 MAKE AMERICA AND HER SOVEREIGNTY HEALTHY AGAIN?

America First, Not World Government, is the Order of the Day

Frank Gaffney and Reggie Littlejohn with Dr. Sherri Tenpenny, Dr. Kat Lindley, Dr. Robert Malone, Summer Ingram, Kris Ullman, Karen Bracken, and Ron Armstrong

Tuesday, January 14, 2025

<https://sovereigntysummit.org/the-sovereignty-summit-5/>

Media File: SovSummit 5.mp4

BEGIN TRANSCRIPT:

[00:00:05] **Dede Laugesen:** Hello everyone. Thanks for joining us today. I'm Dede Laugesen for the Sovereignty Coalition. We appreciate your presence at our fifth Sovereignty Summit. We encourage you to visit SovereigntyCoalition.Org for information on new programs, access to videos of our past summits and webinars, and tons of other very valuable content. Please subscribe to our Substack at sovereignty.substack.com and follow us on X at Coalition Sov. Our moderator today is Frank Gaffney. Frank is president of the Institute for the American Future, co-founder of the Sovereignty Coalition and host of Securing America on Real America's Voice Network.

[00:00:54] **Frank Gaffney:** Thank you and welcome, everyone, to the fifth of these sovereignty summits [00:01:00] brought to you by the Sovereignty Coalition. I'm very proud to be its co-founder with Reggie Littlejohn, from whom we will be hearing momentarily. We are going to be addressing a topic that I think is of, well, not only great topicality, but great importance. Will Trump 2.0 make America and her sovereignty healthy again? This is about America First, not world government being the order of the day. At last we were vexed, to say the least, as a coalition by what the Biden

administration did to reverse that order. So we'll be talking about the change that is now in the offing with the arrival in the nation's capital of a second Trump presidency, and a [00:02:00] number of appointees led by Robert F Kennedy Jr, has been nominated to become the next secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services. As we speak, his nomination hearing has not been scheduled. I think we hope that that will happen very promptly as it is certainly vital that the president and his team get about the business of making America healthy again. And in the course of it, we hope addressing as a matter of the utmost urgency, the reestablishment of sovereignty in this country as a priority, as opposed to moving inexorably in the direction of some sort of global governance scheme.

[00:02:47] **Frank Gaffney:** We're going to talk about all of that and much more. Pandemics and the challenges that we're facing. In terms of the past approaches to [00:03:00] them, as opposed to what we hope will be the program of the new Maha, as it's called, agenda under President Trump 2.0. We're going to begin our conversations with a contribution from Doctor Sherri Tenpenny, one of our Sovereignty Coalition's newest members. We're very proud to have her with us. She's an osteopathic medical doctor board certified in osteopathic medicine and a proficiency in integrative medicine. She is the founder of the Tenpenny Integrative Medical Center in Cleveland, and an authority of quite renown in the field of vaccines. We've asked Doctor Tenpenny to talk about the promise of Robert F Kennedy Jr, what to expect, what is needed from our next Secretary of Health and Human Services. Doctor [00:04:00] Tenpenny, welcome. It's good to have you back. Thank you. And the floor is yours.

[00:04:04] **Dr. Sherri Tenpenny:** Thank you, Frank, and thank you to the entire coalition for inviting me in to join this illustrious group, which is really, really an honor to be here and to give an opportunity to speak about Robert F Kennedy. You know, I've known Bobby for many, many years. He's been involved in a lot of our health freedom movements. I've heard him speak. We've had dinner. There's all sorts of things in terms of the vaccine awareness group with Children's Health Defense. He is a wonderful guy and he's going to be a great leader. You know, Robert F Kennedy Jr is renowned for his advocacy and environmental justice and public health reform, representing a bold and transformative choice for the Secretary of Health and Human Services. His confirmation could usher in an era of significant change driven by his dedication to Dedication to government transparency, accountability, and systemic reform. His top policy agendas

[00:05:00] from reorganizing the FDA and the CDC, the NIH, to promoting regenerative farming and better health and better food for all, and are just amazing. And examining vaccine safety reflects a commitment to improving health and the well-being of Americans. Here's what I think that we can expect from RFK in this role, and what he needs to do to really make the substantive changes that he wants to set forth. The first on my list is to eliminate the conflicts of interest within government, through stricter regulations between government agencies and private companies.

[00:05:36] **Dr. Sherri Tenpenny:** I hope that he will force an audit of organizations such as Barda, Gabby, and the vaccine purchases that the CDC does for our senior citizens and for CMS and for the rest of Americans. You know, many may not know this, but the CDC is the largest purchaser of vaccines in the world that needs to be audited, clarified and determined to be if that's in the best interest of the American people. [00:06:00] He needs to curb the influence of pharmaceutical lobbyists, create advisory panels of independent experts instead of just relying on industry insiders. There are so many of us that have been in this movement, in this forefront for so long about health, freedom, health advocacy, and there are many, many people that are willing to roll up their sleeves and to help move this agenda forward. He needs to create a committee of American people with needed expertise, and not just those industry insiders. He needs to fix the FDA to push for transparency and safety. And efficacy on drugs and vaccines is really, really important and change the pay for play, fast track policies. You know, most may know that our government, the FDA, about 25% or so of their annual budget comes from the fact that the pharmaceutical industry. Pays to put their approval processes at the head of the line. That needs to change.

[00:06:58] **Dr. Sherri Tenpenny:** He also needs to re to [00:07:00] enforce the rules of the. Of the EUA, the emergency use authorization, because several of them were broken as we pushed the Covid vaccines forward. The risk of product is less than the risk from disease was just absolutely fake. And the fact that there were other alternatives that could have done the job was ignored. Those EUA processes need to be changed. Regarding vaccines, Kennedy's vocal stance on vaccine safety has ignited considerable controversy, but his approach underscores the importance of open scientific inquiry. His plans to conduct a thorough investigation of vaccine safety does not equate as anti-vaccine agenda. Rather, it reflects a desire of ensuring that vaccines are held to the highest safety standards and just not brushed aside his face in a safe

and effective without really looking at the data. He must also push for reform of the Covert Countermeasure Injury Compensation program, which was [00:08:00] an absolutely failed, inadequately underfunded. There was no funding for that, and that needs to be changed because 1.7 million Americans have filed bears reports of injury compensation of for injury compensation from the injuries that they received from the Covid vaccines. It really needs to change, and I really will hope that Bobby will address that. And if there's anything that I personally can do to help, I would want to roll up my sleeves and dig into that.

[00:08:28] **Dr. Sherri Tenpenny:** Because as of December 1st, 2024, 13,500 Covid claims have been filed and only 2020 have been compensated. Of the hundreds of thousands, even more, almost 2 million people that have been injured. And unless the 32 vaccines by two years of age is addressed, and that agenda is looked at and stopped or completely changed and revamped. The idea of Make America wealthy again is kind of a fantasy. [00:09:00] If kids get 32 vaccines before their two years of age, that's before they eat their first main meal off of their dining room table. So that really needs to be addressed in terms of being healthy again and the overall of the USDA. I heard him do a video the other day about changing the rules to support family farmers, local food networks, and regenerative farming practices to mitigate environmental toxins and pollutants. I think that RFK's vision is very ambitious, and implementation will require overcoming significant challenges. Probably some of the biggest challenges to the deep state, that is that any one person or any community of persons has ever done to rip the lids off of the secret deals and the contracts and get them reviewed. He's going to be up against serious opposition, and we need to get behind him to make sure that he gets his goals met in that place. He needs to communicate his goals and counter [00:10:00] misinformation to unite diverse stakeholders.

[00:10:03] **Dr. Sherri Tenpenny:** I hope he will surround himself with a knowledgeable team and not just loyal followers. RFK Jr's potential tenure as the Secretary of Health and Human Services represents a transformational opportunity for the United States. His focus on transparency, accountability and holistic health solutions addresses many of the systemic flaws that have plagued our public health institutions, our medical institutions, our health of our Americans for decades. To succeed, he will have to navigate political challenges, foster collaboration, and inspire public trust a daunting but

achievable task and he's the direct, the absolute best leader and the best for this caliber. And I think we all need to roll up our sleeves and get to work. You know, one of the things that I've said for a long time, that one of the gifts of Covid, of what we've been through almost [00:11:00] this last five years, one of the gifts is that people are paying more attention to government than they ever have before. They've been paying more attention to their health and what's in their food, what gets injected into their children. And I think that that's a real gift. And just because the election is over and the and the approval process is underway, doesn't mean we need to let our foot off the gas or take our hands off the steering wheel. And I think we as the American people together, can make this happen.

[00:11:29] **Frank Gaffney:** Doctor Tenpenny, thank you very much. A brilliant introduction of certainly the prospective leader of all of this and based on your own personal experience with him, it it's clear that clear that it's not only heartfelt, but very insightful. We're going to turn to another doctor of osteopathic medicine, Doctor Kat Lindley, also a member. In fact, one of our founding members, I believe, of the Sovereignty [00:12:00] coalition, certainly among its most energetic and I think impactful. She is a board certified family physician in her native state of Texas. She was the past president of the Texas Osteopathic Medical Association and is these days the president of the Global Health Project. We have asked her to speak to the issue of making America healthy again. What will it take? And is it actually in prospect? Doctor Lindley, welcome back to the summits. It's good to have you with us as always.

[00:12:36] **Dr. Kat Lindley:** Thank you, Frank, for having me. So what is the prospects for us? I think one of the most exciting things that happened during this election season is the unity between Make America Great Again and Make America Wealthy again. You have these two great giants who want the best for our country together. Working. And Robert F Kennedy Jr has outlined a number of promises to make [00:13:00] America wealthy again under president elect Donald Trump. One of the vows that he made is to combat an epidemic of chronic diseases that he has described as an existential threat to America's future. President Trump charged him to do so in two years with measurable results. Kennedy has also stated that a number of health issues have worsened because of the federal inaction, including autism, attention deficit disorder, sleep disorder, infertility rates, diabetes, and most importantly, obesity, especially obesity in children. One of the important charges that the RFK Jr has is to get the

chemicals out of the American food supply, including dyes and glyphosate, because Americans have realized that additives and things that we eat in our American food is not the same as the rest of the world. And some of that is causing this rise in chronic diseases. Kennedy [00:14:00] has also said that Trump has tasked him with returning agencies to their rich tradition of gold standards, evidence based science.

[00:14:08] **Dr. Kat Lindley:** And it's actually very encouraging to see some of the appointments to HHS, to NIH doctor Jay Bhattacharya to CDC. We have Doctor Dave Weldon, who is a family physician and also former congressman from Florida. But while we're talking about chemicals, food additives, chronic disease and everything that's happening, we also have to talk about what has happened during the pandemic. And that has been the introduction of the new platform, the mRNA lipid nanoparticle platform. And as Doctor Tenpenny has mentioned, we have had many adverse events that haven't been addressed. And going forward, the hope is for the administration that over time they were able they will be able to challenge this platform because important issues that are happening. The new vaccines in production [00:15:00] were the bird flu for influenza next year for RSV are all coming back on this lipid nanoparticle mRNA platform. And as we've seen so far, the platform should be suspended because too many people have suffered so far. The idea that this is safe and effective has been a lie because we never had long term data. Why is this important to sovereignty coalition? Well, for the past several years, we have worked against the World Health Organization in the pandemic treaty and amendments to international health regulation.

[00:15:32] **Dr. Kat Lindley:** And an important thing to recognize is their alliance with Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, Gavi Alliance, and push from the World Health Organization for this new platform to continue and to produce more products. Another good thing from this HHS announcement is the fact that the global affairs that deals directly with the World Health Organization and United Nations is under the purview of the HHS. [00:16:00] In President Trump's first administration, he has tried to get us out of the World Health Organization. He has spoken about defunding United Nations and other supranational agencies. So I'm looking forward to this continued work, especially in this direction. As we all know, May 2025. We're almost at mid-January, so it's really coming along pretty fast. And that is when the World Health Organization is going to renegotiate the pandemic treaty. So we need to continue the work in work in trying to exit the World Health Organization. Exit the United Nations and support the new

administration in those efforts. And I believe and I do know that RFK Jr. Feels in interviews before the election has said the same things regarding the World Health Organization. So together, Maha and MAGA can do great things.

[00:16:59] **Frank Gaffney:** Wonderful, Dr. [00:17:00] Lindley, thank you. We're going to speak more about the World Health Organization with Reggie Littlejohn after our next presentation. We're very pleased to have with us a man who knows a lot about that mRNA platform. In fact, he was one of those who helped devise the technology that made it possible. His name is Doctor Robert Malone. No stranger to our summits or I think to the rest of the population, for that matter. He has authored a powerful book entitled Lies my government told me. And the better future coming. He has a new one about Siwa as well. Authored with his wonderful wife, Jill Malone. Doctor Jill Malone. We've asked Doctor Robert Malone to discuss with us the pandemics past, present and future and in particular the approach that we expect [00:18:00] from the Trump 2.0 administration in dealing with this coming set. It seems that are now being addressed as emergencies by people like Gavin Newsom. And we expect the director general of the World Health Organization, Tedros Ghebreyesus. Doctor Malone, welcome. It's great to have you with us once again at our summit.

[00:18:25] **Dr. Robert Malone:** Hi. Thank you. Forgive me for being informal. I have to turn from this to plowing the ice up on our roads. Plus, I'm getting a lot of grief for always wearing sport coats and ties. So this is more like my native habitat here. So what can we expect in the coming four years? And I'm going to speak plainly, perhaps a little too bluntly given what the new chief of staff is saying, that we must all be team players. We do have an unfortunate situation where a lot [00:19:00] of folks are feeling disappointed by the announced appointments to date and the lack of participation or nomination of people that have been so deeply committed to the cause of medical freedom and in particular to raising concerns, objections and documenting the data about the risk benefit ratio, adverse events and gross failure of informed consent that is characterized Operation Warp Speed and the genetic vaccines. Remember, it's not just mRNA. It's also adenovirus vectored vaccines in particular. And both of those platforms have proven to be quite toxic in this context particularly consequent to their causing patients bodies to become manufacturing [00:20:00] factories of a highly toxic protein that we commonly call spike. The unfortunate truth is that Mr... You know, president elect Trump, soon to be sworn in is of the opinion that Operation Warp Speed was a

huge success. And so were these genetic vaccines that were developed and initially, you know, on the threshold of authorization.

[00:20:30] **Dr. Robert Malone:** Asian. Under his prior administration. And everything that I hear is that this is not a topic up for debate. So you're, if you wish to make the case that the Trump administration must address these harms and the consequences of really running roughshod [00:21:00] over both decades of regulatory norms as well as the actual statutory language of Operation Warp Speed. I'm sorry, of the emergency use authorization, which includes specifically the need to provide informed consent. Informed consent? Most definitely. Is not insertion of a blank piece of paper in the package insert describing the vaccine products. That is the opposite of informed consent, and so is the deployment of various mandates, not under Trump's administration by a variety of entities, including local school districts, states, corporations, federal contractors, and the federal government. Personally I think and I've said this now for months, I said it in my justification for endorsing [00:22:00] president elect Trump during the election process. I believe that the good that will come of having Robert F Kennedy Jr confirmed as secretary of Health and Human services and the various policy positions of president elect Donald Trump compared to those that were being deployed and continue to be deployed up until the last minute by whoever is running the current white House. You know, not clear on that. These policies far outweigh the damage being caused by the prior administration.

[00:22:45] **Dr. Robert Malone:** And that would have likely accelerated under a reelected administration under Kamala Harris and Tim Walz. So I made the decision that it was there was sufficient information [00:23:00] to and clarity in policy positions that despite my disappointment in the failure to consider addressing the well-documented excess all-cause mortality and significant harms relative to benefits associated with these genetic vaccine products and their platforms are still, on balance, thought it was necessary to endorse this, and I look forward to the confirmation of who I consider to be a friend, colleague and fellow warrior Robert F Kennedy Jr. In terms of the mandate that I was asked in to address here, in addition to the above, was the prospects of further weaponization of fear. Or I like to use the term deployment [00:24:00] of psychological bioterrorism, otherwise known as information bioterrorism, which is a standard known spycraft set of actions that are repeatedly deployed through modern history. And we can go back and recap that again and again. And that's what

we've been fighting against. And that's why it seems like we're up against a well-established system and structure that many have followed. Mikki Willis coined term plandemic to describe this is a well-established system and it is used for population control. And it's also used for marketing and it's also used for disruption of economies and social order, etc. One of my colleagues recently tweeted out that it is his belief, [00:25:00] without robust evidence, that Doctor Leana Wen or I'm not sure if she's a doctor MPH Leana Wen, who is a darling of CNN and Face the Nation and other outlets and has been through the Covid crisis and has recently provided a very egregious interview in terms of her positions and statements on Face the Nation regarding bird flu that Leana Wen has the appearance of acting on behalf of, let's say, a foreign power.

[00:25:31] **Dr. Robert Malone:** And I think we need I don't see the direct evidence of that. I don't see the artifacts of that. But I wouldn't necessarily I think that it's prudent that we keep this in mind, that there are a wide range of actors interacting in media, social and corporate, on behalf of third parties, not the least of which are the World Economic Forum trained Young Leader Program [00:26:00] graduates such as Justin Trudeau and the governor of California, Gavin Newsom, and the governor of Washington state Inslee. These people, in my opinion are loyal to an extra governmental entity, the World Economic forum and they really should register as foreign agents. They are not really acting as defenders of the US Constitution, and we can expect them and their camp followers their agents, to continue to seek opportunities to deploy psychological bioterrorism over the next four years in efforts to disrupt the Trump administration, to disrupt the activities of health and human service, and to enable the commercialization and marketing and capture federal funding for their various [00:27:00] initiatives.

[00:27:00] **Dr. Robert Malone:** So the question is are those that are here listening, thinking commenting interacting with your colleagues and peers? Are you ready to actively do everything you can to disrupt these campaigns that are being deployed against us and will continue to be deployed against us. And I believe the best armor, the best methods that we have, the best practices to disrupt these illegitimate activities, these very abusive activities, is to educate yourself about the methods that are used and to not pull your punches and be willing to responsibly call out these nefarious activities when you see them occurring. Now, it's not helpful to overreact and to engage in [00:28:00] sensationalism of your own let alone marketing various products such as

disaster kits, etc. But I want to just close by saying it's up to all of us as leaders here in the sovereignty coalition to speak honestly, truthfully, and yet well, in a way that's well grounded in documents, known facts, artifacts that we can cite rather than to venture down the road of excessive speculation, which is often used by our opponents to delegitimize us. So those are my closing comments and thoughts. I hope this was helpful, and I wish us all the best of luck moving into the next four years and moving through this transition. Thank you for the opportunity.

[00:28:56] **Frank Gaffney:** Thank you, Doctor Malone, as always, for your insights, especially [00:29:00] as it relates to some of the personalities that will be involved in these fights going forward and especially in the event we do find ourselves saddled with another pandemic, psychological bioterrorism or otherwise. We're going to turn next to our co-founder, Reggie Littlejohn. She has been just a tremendous leader on this coalition. And in making the case particularly for our sovereignty and against those both at the World Health Organization and the United Nations and various capitals around the world, including, I'm sorry to say, Washington, DC, who have been promoting this idea of world government very much at the expense of our constitutional republic and the freedoms it guarantees, including our medical freedoms. We've asked Reggie to talk about a subject on which she has become one [00:30:00] of the country's leading authorities, I think. And that is the digital gulag, what it means for our sovereignty and why Trump should immediately withdraw us from an instrument of that digital gulag, as well as an enemy of national sovereignty. The World Health Organization. Reggie. Welcome back. It's good to have you with us. Floor is yours.

[00:30:28] **Reggie Littlejohn:** Thank you. Frank. So my topic is digital gulag versus national sovereignty. Why Trump should withdraw from the World Health Organization. And I understand that there is a letter going around. I don't know how many people have signed it, but saying that that Trump should not withdraw from the World Health Organization, but that we should stay to reform it. And I have to say that I strenuously disagree with that position. Trump actually had a speech while [00:31:00] he was a candidate, saying basically that he was going to immediately withdraw from the World Health Organization, which is something he already did during his first term. And he said that it deserves to be abolished. And I completely agree with that sentiment. And to those who say that we should stay and reform it, I have several things to say. Number one, in my opinion, the World Health Organization is irredeemably corrupt and it cannot

be reformed. And I believe it was so from the inception. So I want to read a quote here. This is a quote from a gentleman named Brock Chisholm, who was the first director general of the World Health Organization. Here's what he has to say. To achieve world government. So that's the goal to achieve world government. It is necessary to remove from the minds of men their individualism, loyalty to family traditions, [00:32:00] national patriotism and religious dogmas. That is a quote from the first director general of the World Health World Health Organization.

[00:32:14] **Reggie Littlejohn:** So that's that, you know, is that was that the goal, the original goal of the organization? Did they not think did they not know that that was his view? I think I think that they probably did know that that was his view before they appointed him. So this is not an organization that can be reformed. And then secondly, the World Health Organization has gotten itself into a situation where in the beginning they, you know, were funded mostly by nation states, but now the majority of their funding or a large portion of their funding comes from, you know, private interests. So I'm holding a chart here. It's from 20, 2018, I believe. It's hard to get the most current information, but in this chart, the United States is the top funder of the World Health Organization. But just [00:33:00] under that is the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and then the Gavi Alliance, which is another Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation related organization, both of which are related also to vaccines. So why is this a problem? This is a huge problem because the World Health Organization is tasked with, and is supposed to be trusted to give us advice about, you know what, how we should handle a pandemic or another health crisis. Should there be a vaccine? Is there another way to do this? Well, if they're funded by entities, that would profit hugely from the deployment of vaccines, that is a direct conflict of interest that they, you know, how can they be trusted to tell us whether or not we need a vaccine when they're being funded by people who would profit from vaccines? So these are reasons that they that they should that that they, we, they can't be reformed.

[00:33:58] **Reggie Littlejohn:** Another reason is that they're [00:34:00] already talking about reforming themselves. And how do they want to reform themselves? They want to reform themselves by giving themselves more power. More power. Oh, the reason that we didn't perform so well, you know, under Covid 19 was because we didn't have enough power to lock people down to force vaccines to blah, blah, blah, blah, blah. And that is it is in that direction that they passed the amended International Health

Regulations, which I will get into in a second. But one of the things they did when they passed, that is they completely ignored their own rules. There's a rule called article 55 where they were supposed to disclose everything that was going to be voted on for months in advance. And I don't think they even disclosed it for hours in advance. They released it just within hours of when it was voted on. They just they don't follow their own rules. They totally are not to be trusted. And I believe that Donald Trump [00:35:00] should withdraw immediately and not listen to the voices, which I would say are a minority or outliers, saying that that we should stay and reform it.

[00:35:10] **Reggie Littlejohn:** So in these amended international health regulations, which they rammed through at the last minute, there's something called that requires each nation to establish something called a national IHR, International Health Regulation Authority or a national IHR Focal Point. So these are like W.H.O. czars. It's like an agency within each country in the world that is tasked with implementing the international health regulations and with adjusting the legislation within that country to be in conformance with the International Health Regulations or whatever the W.H.O. wants to do. That is an abrogation of our national sovereignty. We don't need a powerful agency inside of the United States, that is, that answers not to our country or to our people, but answers to the World Health [00:36:00] Organization and is tasked with changing our own laws, whether they're local laws or statewide laws or national laws to be in conformance with the implementation of World Health Organization directives. And then beyond this, you know, the International Health Regulations have a section in annex one A that require all the various countries to develop and strengthen and maintain core capacities in relation to surveillance. And then also censorship. The same annex says that the state parties are to, quote, develop, strengthen and maintain core capacities in relation to risk communication, including misinformation and disinformation. So right there, you know, they don't define misinformation and disinformation in that document, but they have defined it in practice. And we can just look right back to Covid 19, where whatever, whenever somebody disagreed with or presented an opposing view to the world, something the World Health Organization was saying that was called [00:37:00] misinformation and disinformation.

[00:37:02] **Reggie Littlejohn:** And you could lose your license for saying something that is perfectly true, but is different from what the World Health Organization is saying. We need to just withdraw. And then there's the pandemic treaty that is has not passed yet,

but they are working very hard to get it passed at the World Health Assembly. That's going to be happening this June, June 2025. And in the pandemic treaty, they have the one health, which is the connection between human health, animal health, plant health and the environment where they can basically surveil and censor people on, on every single aspect of life on earth. That's the purpose, in my opinion, of the one health approach. And then they have something called the Pathogen Access and Benefit Sharing system, which is the most insane thing that I've, I've ever heard a government. I mean, it's just it's insane. So what it does, is it pathogen access means that various [00:38:00] countries, if they find a pathogen with pandemic potential, a PGP, peep. In other words, a deadly pathogen that could cause a pandemic that they're required to send it to the World Health Organization. The World Health Organization will then farm it out to labs all over the world, presumably to create a vaccine against it. So that there will be benefit sharing.

[00:38:20] **Reggie Littlejohn:** In other words, if there is if this pathogen gets out, then all these various labs in these various countries will share the benefit of whatever vaccine if they've made a vaccine that's safe and effective. Okay. What does this do? It what it does is it exponentially increases the chances of more pathogens coming out as lab leaks or, you know, or bioterrorists getting Ahold of them and holding the world hostage to them. You know, these pathogens should be under lock and key somewhere and not be spread out all over the place. So [00:39:00] what this does then, is it sets up a situation where we could have a constant lab leaks, you know, one every year, another every year, so that the world would be in a constant state of pandemic, which would have two effects. One is greatly enriching big pharma, and number two is giving an excuse to keep us locked down and to destroy our, our, our constitutional rights. So for all of these reasons, I just I think that we should have no patience with trying to reform the World Health Organization. I think we need to withdraw immediately. I'm calling on President Donald, Donald Trump to honor his promise that to get us out, I have every expectation that he will. And I look forward to the day when he announces that that that he has withdrawn us from the World Health Organization. Thank you.

[00:39:49] **Frank Gaffney:** Thank you, Reggie, very much. I would just add to your arguments against this idea that we're better off trying to reform the World Health Organization from the [00:40:00] inside. Just the simple observation that unlike the UN Security Council, where we actually are privileged as a permanent member of the

council to exercise a veto in the W.H.O., we're just one of 190 or so countries. Same, same number of votes. We have somewhat more influence, but we can't stop things there. So this idea that we can sort of ensure that reform takes place along the lines you've talked about, Reggie, is, I think, a pipe dream and unfortunately would be very, very much counterproductive if it meant we wound up being bound by all of these things that we've been talking about that the W.H.O. has in mind for us, especially things like the digital gulag and censorship. We're going to turn next to a woman who has studied the matter of whether we, in fact can [00:41:00] withdraw from not only the W.H.O., but for that matter, other sovereignty crushing international agreements. Her name is Summer Ingram. She is the vice president for strategic affairs of a terrific organization, actually two Liberty Counsel, action and Liberty Counsel. She is, interestingly enough, an ordained minister and has previously worked in the Congressional Prayer Caucus Foundation. So she knows her way around Capitol Hill. She's joining us by video. I'm very pleased to say. And we'll go to Summer Ingram now.

[00:41:39] **Summer Ingram:** Thank you, Frank and Reggie, for the invitation to join this summit today. It's truly an honor to be a part of this incredible lineup of panelists, and a sincere thanks to you both for your leadership of the Sovereignty Coalition. After President Trump won the election, several were wondering what the president's authority is to withdraw the United States from international Treaties. So the question is, can the president, [00:42:00] with the simple stroke of a pen, unilaterally remove the United States from freedom crushing international treaties and agreements? He's done it before. And yes, he can. And he said he will do it again. According to the US Department of State, the United States enters in over 200 international treaties or agreements a year. That does not even include those that are already in force. So when a new administration enters the white House, it tends to exit or reenter a myriad of international agreements, causing a complete shift in US foreign policy. While treaties, according to article two, section two of the US Constitution may only be entered into with the approval of two thirds of the US Senate, presidents on both sides of the aisle have found a way to achieve similar results without the required cooperation of the legislative branch. They do it through executive orders with regard to exiting treaties. There has been substantial disagreement on whether the whether the president has the power to unilaterally withdraw from article two [00:43:00] treaties and other agreements that require the two branches, and whether Congress can constrain those withdrawals.

[00:43:06] **Summer Ingram:** It's a matter of ongoing debate. That is what the Constitution is clear that the president can make treaties with the advice and consent of the Senate, provided that two thirds of the senators present concur. It's silent how treaties might be terminated. It's been argued, based on Restatement of Foreign Relations Law, that the president has the power not to ratify the treaty, even after the Senate's consent has been given, that the president has the parallel authority to withdraw from treaties, regardless of the Senate's position on withdrawal. While the Constitution gives no guidance on how the United States should exit a treaty. The United the authority of presidents to unilaterally withdraw from treaties has become more widely accepted, at least where withdrawal or other exit mechanisms are consistent with international law. The mirror principle gives the president the power to terminate without congressional participation, [00:44:00] executive agreements that have been made unilaterally. Simply put, it requires that the same degree of executive and congressional participation legally necessary to exit agreement should mirror what was required to enter that agreement in the first place. When this issue came before the Supreme Court in *Goldwater v Carter*, the court declined to review President Jimmy Carter's unilateral termination of a bilateral treaty with Taiwan. Since the Constitution doesn't specify under what circumstances and what branch of government has the power to of treaty withdrawal. The justices left the issue undecided. During President Trump's first term, his administration withdrew from international agreements and treaties that subjected America to concerning agendas and excessive financial burdens.

[00:44:44] **Summer Ingram:** Now that he's returning to the white House, the opportunity is ripe for him to disentangle the US from overbearing arrangements that threaten our constitutional freedoms and freedoms and infringe upon American interests by way of example. In his first term, President Trump withdrew [00:45:00] from several binding and non-binding agreements and councils, including the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action with the P5 plus one and Iran. The Global Compact for Safe, Orderly and Regular Migration. The Global Compact on Refugees. UN Relief and Works Agency, also known as UNWRA. He also exited binding agreements such as the Paris Agreement and the World Health Organization, as well as others. He even revoked article two treaties that are negotiated by the president and then submitted to the Senate, including the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces Treaty. Treaty on open Skies. Optional protocol to the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations and the Treaty of Amity, Economic Relations and Consular Rights with Iran, with seemingly no

consequence. The truth is, most international treaties proved to be ineffective and even harmful. In a landmark Denmark. Study by professor at York University, entitled international treaties, have mostly failed to produce their intended effects. He goes into details as to [00:46:00] why that is. Going forward, with the immense concerns about the agenda of global elites and international government, financial burdens, and effectiveness of international agreements, it's imperative that Congress hold all presidents accountable to the proper process for entering into foreign treaties. According to article two, section two of the US Constitution, to prevent presidents from arbitrarily and unilaterally signing our country into any binding foreign agreement.

[00:46:31] **Summer Ingram:** When considering the abuse of power of past administrations and the exposed agenda of global government, legislation should be passed to require two thirds Senate approval to even amend agreements and treaties like the International Health Regulations. The strings attached to executive agreements and agreements and international treaties, often tethered the United States to. A globalist agenda and opens the door to compromising national sovereignty. And weakening weakened, guaranteed freedoms [00:47:00] under the US Constitution. Look no further than the emergence of totalitarian agendas in global governance. Coming out of the United Nations and the World Health Organization, which we know is a UN agency. We have several policy recommendations for the President and Congress, which will be posted at. Org. In the meantime, I just want to I want to end us with this thought. The famous quote from President Ronald Reagan that says freedom is never more than one generation away from extinction. Extinction. We didn't pass it to our children in the bloodstream. It must be fought for, protected and handed on to them to do the same. Or one day we will spend our sunset years telling our children and our children's children what it was like in the United States when men were free. Thanks again for this opportunity to be a part of this invaluable valuable summit. And thank you all, fearless freedom fighters, for continuing to fight for the United States of America.

[00:47:55] **Frank Gaffney:** Thank you so much, Summer. This was a moving treatment of this problem as [00:48:00] well as, I think, a very much needed one. It is striking, as you think back on it, that the numbers of these accords that are being adopted every year. International agreements of various kinds, and the vast majority of them are not subjected to the what the founders insisted was going to be a needed quality control mechanism is one of the reasons we're in the fix. We are with the World Health

Organization. Reggie talked about the amendments to it. They're not at the moment being subjected to Senate scrutiny, let alone approval. And that should be done. It seems to us especially if for whatever reason, we are not unbound from this whole exercise by President Trump, as we. Hope very much we will be. We're going to turn next to a woman who has been a leader of our [00:49:00] coalition, particularly at the grassroots level across the nation. Her specialty is federal policies. She runs a terrific organization founded by the great and lamentably late Phyllis Schlafly. Her name is Chris Uhlmann. The organization is Eagle Forum, and she has been a leader not only of that organization and one of its driving forces for many years, but also of the conservative movement in America more generally. We're grateful for her friendship as well as her participation in the sovereignty Coalition. We've asked Chris to address the topic of national legislative efforts to protect US sovereignty. Something that we've, I think established is very much in need of the intention of lawmakers as well as executive branch officials [00:50:00] in the new Trump administration. Chris, welcome back to the summits. It's good to have you with us. Floor is yours, ma'am.

[00:50:06] **Kris Ullman:** Thank you so much, Frank. And it's great to be with all of you today to talk about this very important topic. I've had the pleasure over the last several days to reach out to members of Congress to see what their plans are in the 119th Congress. Regarding the W.H.O. and international agreements and sovereignty the Congress has been assembled for a total of 11 days now. But I'm happy to report that Congressman Andy Biggs from Arizona has reintroduced his bill to get us out of the W.H.O. The bill number in this Congress is H.R. 54. And what it does is it removes us from the W.H.O. and also prevents any funding from going to the W.H.O. or any of its participation [00:51:00] by the United States. So that's very important. And it also revokes the legislation that put us in the W.H.O. in the first place. So he was the first one out of the gate in this Congress to introduce new legislation. I've also been in touch with Senator Ron Johnson's office, and they are planning in the next week or so to reintroduce their bill that would require, as Sommer mentioned for the Senate to give its advice and consent to any World Health Organization treaty that comes down the pike, so that in the last Congress was s 444.

[00:51:37] **Kris Ullman:** We don't have a number yet in this Congress. But as you know, that bill or maybe not that Bill did pass the House at the end of the last Congress, but it did not make it through the Senate. So even though President Trump has committed to

getting us out of the W.H.O., it is very important that Congress pass this legislation so that if [00:52:00] the white House turns over to someone who does not have the same ideology on sovereignty, that we are protected from getting back in the W.H.O. and losing our sovereignty. I also reached out to Senator Mike Lee's office, and they will be reintroducing the defund act, which not only gets us out of the W.H.O., but also gets us out of the UN and requires a ratification vote to get us back into any one of these international organizations or international treaties. It also defunds any funding of any international treaty or international organization Without prior approval by Congress. So he has not introduced it yet. But I talked to his staff and that is on the agenda. And they would love our help in in moving that forward as well. Now, let me just talk briefly about what you can do to help out with the nominees [00:53:00] this week. There are 13 nominations for cabinet secretaries and other federal positions that are having hearings.

[00:53:08] **Kris Ullman:** That is a whole lot for the Senate to do in one week on that list is not RFK. So he will most likely be next week, but I'm not sure they could fit even one more person in this week, so I wouldn't get too discouraged. But what you can do in the meantime is contact your senators. Ask them to move these nominations through the Senate as quickly as possible. Thank them for the rapid pace that they are having. If you have Republican senators, if you have Democrat senators, ask them to give these nominees the same consideration that the Republicans gave the Biden administration nominees, which is they moved them forward quickly. They had votes on them. So we want to be very polite when we talk to our senators, [00:54:00] but make our position very clear when it comes to RFK. We support his nomination to be HHS secretary, and we ask them to act on this nomination as quickly as possible and approve him so that he can begin implementing the agenda that the American people voted for. And that's make America wealthy again, make America strong again, make America great again. Thank you very much. And I look forward to talking to you all in the future.

[00:54:32] **Frank Gaffney:** You're up to the moment report on the various folks who are in process here is very much appreciated. We're going to turn next to a woman who has been working at the state level on similar kinds of efforts to assert and protect our sovereignty. Her name is Karen Bracken. She has been active both with our sovereignty coalition for some time, and she is the founder of [00:55:00] Tennessee Citizens for State Sovereignty. And you can follow that at TNCSS.substack.com. Karen is going to

Speak to us about state level efforts to prevent world government. Welcome, my dear. Over to you.

[00:55:18] **Karen Bracken:** Thank you very much, Frank, for the honor of participating in today's Sovereignty Summit. The 114th Tennessee Legislative session starts today. So this is very timely. In Tennessee, we currently have two bills to address the Who, the WEF and the United Nations. Senator Mark Poti is the sponsor of SB 21. It's a very short bill. It's very well written but lacks some needed detail. The second bill does not yet have a number. It's filed with our legal department and it is extremely detailed. It not only brings into the bill the Who, the World Economic Forum and the United Nations, [00:56:00] it also brings in one health, the national IHR authority, the national focal points, the CDC, the NIH, the FDA, and the HHS. We're covering all bases in this legislation to stop anything coming down from the global organizations into our state. Representative Bud Hulseley is the sponsor of this legislation, and he's also the sponsor of the Nullification Process bill, along with Senator Janice Bowling. Senator Poti and Representative Hulseley are speaking together to hopefully take the best parts of both bills and combine them into one bill. But if that doesn't work out, we will have two separate bills. Hopefully one will get past the nullification process. Bill sets up five different pathways in which to invoke nullification when the federal government violates their constitutional legislative powers, which it does all the time, described [00:57:00] in article one, section eight of the Constitution, and the Ninth and 10th amendment of the Bill of rights. Tennessee also has legislation to make gold and silver legal tender and to establish a precious metals depository.

[00:57:15] **Karen Bracken:** And all of these efforts are designed to push back against federal overreach and globalism for the sake of time. I'd like to just read my closing statement so I don't get off into the weeds. From President Reagan's inaugural speech on January 20th, 1981, he stated, Government is not the solution to our problem. Government is the problem. Our government has no power except that granted it by the people. It is time to check and reverse the growth of government, which shows signs of having grown beyond the consent of the governed. It is my intention to curb the size and influence of the federal establishment, and to demand recognition [00:58:00] of the distinction between the powers granted to the federal Government and those reserved to the States or to the people. All of us need to be reminded that the federal government did not create the states. The states created the federal government. I highly

recommend that people read the inaugural address of President Reagan. It truly is a declaration on the sovereignty of the of the states and the people of the States. It is time the states and the people of the states understand. Our founders gave the federal government a very limited and very defined set of legislative powers. You can find them in the Constitution again in article one, section eight. Everything else remains under the authority of the States and the people of the States, except for what is listed in article one, section [00:59:00] ten of the Constitution. The Constitution was created to limit the powers of the federal government, not to put limits on the states and the people of the states.

[00:59:10] **Karen Bracken:** When Benjamin Franklin was asked what kind of government he gave us, he replied, A republic, if you can keep it. It was. It was the full intent of our founders, when creating the Constitution, that the states and the people of the states were to be the final arbiter of what is or is not constitutional. Not the federal government and not the Supreme Court, which has been recently infiltrated by political bias. This was an authority that the Supreme Court took upon themselves. Even Justice Sotomayor stated during the Dobbs v Jackson Women's Health hearing in December of 2021 that the Supreme that there is nothing in the Constitution that says the Supreme Court has the final word. President Trump will only be in office for four years. [01:00:00] So if we want lasting sovereignty, it is the duty and the responsibility of the states and the people of the states to make it happen. We truly have failed in our duty and our responsibility, and we have allowed the federal government to overstep its constitutional lane, and only the states and the people can right that wrong. If our Who World Economic Forum bill does not pass in this legislative, we have every intention. We're very organized here in Tennessee, and we have every intention to invoke a campaign of nullification against anything that comes down from the Who, the World Economic Forum, the UN, through any of our federal agencies and apply nullification. So again, I thank you very much for inviting me to this important summit today.

[01:00:53] **Frank Gaffney:** Karen, thank you so much for your insights and your hard work. And Tennessee and your inspiring [01:01:00] efforts elsewhere as well. It's hugely important, obviously, that the states take care to prevent the federal government from ceding sovereignty over powers that the federal government does not actually have under our Constitution. Public health is not an enumerated power of the federal government. Therefore, it resides with the states. And it's for this reason that we've

been very proud of efforts made by particularly one of our colleagues in the sovereignty Coalition, Joe Gebbia, to enlist the help of I believe it was 22 state attorneys general in opposing these treaties that have been promulgated, specifically the World Health Organization IHR amendments and [01:02:00] the prospective pandemic treaty as well as this all applies, I think, equally to the pact for the future that has been adopted by the United Nations General Assembly late last year. We're also going to talk a bit more about the fact that the state's governors have also weighed in on this initially, 24 of them, and I think ultimately 26 saying they would not be party to these kinds of arrangements. And fully 49 members of the United States Senate, every Republican in the last session of Congress similarly weighed in in endorsing, in fact, the Ron Johnson bill that was mentioned earlier insisting on the Senate's advice and consent to this International Health regulations amending court [01:03:00] will be I think, probably touching on many of these topics.

[01:03:05] **Frank Gaffney:** A little bit further in Q&A, if we have time and we're going to finish with one final presentation, the man we often turn to, a wonderful member of this coalition and others with whom we're associated Ron Armstrong he is our closer in many of these settings because he does a magnificent job of synthesizing the information that has been expressed by others. He is the president of Stand Up Michigan, which is a very active and, under his leadership, quite effective grassroots organization in Michigan. He's also been a leader of frontliners, an informal grouping of similar kinds of organizations across the country. He's a member of both the Sovereignty Coalition and our Save America's military coalition, and has been truly a leader, thought [01:04:00] leader, and a great influencer on so many of these topics. We've asked him to address the question of Trump's mandate to protect our sovereignty, and how can we help ensure that his promises made our promises kept? Ron. Welcome back. It's good to have you with us. Over to you, sir.

[01:04:20] **Ron Armstrong:** Thank you. Frank. I appreciate so much all of the speakers that have been here and those that are listening we ask you certainly to share this video and make sure that you do go to the Sovereignty coalition.org website. We I think what I want to do is, is wrap up both where we've been and over the last couple of years, the work that we have been doing as a coalition, which really is a representation of 50 or 60 organizational leaders who have again created a true coalition to share information messaging and of course, communication to those that are elected officials. Oftentimes

educating [01:05:00] and informing them of the things that are transpiring down to the local level and what we need to do to, to really to halt what it is that's happening. So President Trump has run again on the Make America Great again. And I believe that part of the reason that he was elected in such a mandate is that he also embraced making America healthy again. And I guess what I want to implore upon you, on this administration and on elected officials is we simply need to make America again. I say that because what we have really done is what is making America great. What is making America healthy? It is going back to the founding principles. And it is one thing to run an election and make promises. It's another thing to be able to execute those. Obviously, we do have the House and the Senate, which means that we should have the mandate, if you will, to get the House.

[01:05:59] **Ron Armstrong:** You know, [01:06:00] they passed two times in this last election cycle, an appropriations bill originally that was to defund the World Health Organization, that was to make sure that no funding would be provided unless there was a Senate basically consent or a ratification of anything. That is a treaty they attempted, as you probably are aware to make this called an accord and an agreement, as opposed to it being a true treaty, though it was always referred to as a treaty. Ratification therefore would be required. But the Senate voted on that, and with the previous Senate it was literally all the Republicans voted, obviously, to have it be treated as a treaty. And they then went on to sign a letter basically authorizing the same. And that was to back up the final language that was included in the appropriations. As you know, the appropriations died in the Senate. Therefore, it has to be reintroduced. Chris mentioned that specifically that there is now a new bill called H.R. 54. [01:07:00] This will defund and it will basically have us leave the World Health Organization. President Trump did follow through on his effort to have us leave the World Health Organization or put them on notice we would be leaving. But before, of course that could it could end our funding. The election happened, and basically it was reverted back.

[01:07:21] **Ron Armstrong:** And this is the exact reason why the legislature has to do its job now and repass H.R. 54. The Senate needs to pass that, as well as make sure that all the language is included, that no agreements by any foreign entity, government or whatever will ever be able to be put on the American people without it being passed or ratified by two thirds of the Senate. In addition to that, none of those things matter. If

indeed they have stepped over the bounds of our constitutional freedoms and liberties. And that is what transpired in the 2020. The Covid crisis was to, number one, put you in a sense of fear. It [01:08:00] accomplished that. It was in the name of a pandemic, in keeping yourselves and your family healthy, and to protect grandma from dying of something that you're going to give her. And Operation Warp Speed was born out of that. And what I want to discuss real quickly is we can give the credit to President Trump as a CEO and a leader at the time of Operation Warp Speed, and it's reducing the regulatory issues in order to try and save millions of lives. And he accomplished a great thing in cutting through the clutter to bring something to the market that we believed or we were told was going to stop or prevent you from getting it, giving it, you know, transmitting it, so on. But that doesn't separate the fact that what the product ended up being from Moderna, Johnson and Johnson, Pfizer and so on was a flawed product.

[01:08:56] **Ron Armstrong:** It isn't. Operation Warp Speed and the process that was flawed. [01:09:00] It was the product and the understanding once the product was done, and obviously because it was emergency declaration or emergency authorization, I should say, then what that really meant was that no one then was going to be liable for anything going forward. And therefore it continued on even though it was affecting the lives, the myocarditis, all of the side effects that you've seen, we could go on and on. Every single person watching this, and everyone who is listening to this knows someone who had sudden death, someone who had a stroke, someone who's young, who had heart issues. Everyone knows someone who has gone through this, and we need to put a stop to the mandatory. And we need to remove this emergency authorization and go through the proper channels moving forward. Most importantly, we need to realize that this was an effort to move beyond and around the Constitution and your individual freedoms and liberties. This [01:10:00] is what the always has been. We talk about the enemy from the outside. That would be the World Economic Forum, the United Nations and their arm, the World Health Organization, the Chinese Communist Party, and certainly individuals who seek population control. One word world health from China which wants to again. So we're going to just reiterate this.

[01:10:19] **Ron Armstrong:** They want to make sure that both the environment plants and animals climate, all of those things are treated on the exact same plane as humans understand. They have no view of God. They are an anti-God. They are an anti-

American organization from its founding. And there is no reforming those goals in individual. And first of all, we are not going to be exporting, let alone funding and providing resources to an international governing body who is going to attempt to bypass and circumvent your individual freedoms and liberties. In 2026, we are going to be celebrating the 250th [01:11:00] anniversary of the signing of our Declaration of Independence, and my question to each and every one of you is, what will we be celebrating? Will we be celebrating an archaic document who had once had this individual. Rights and liberty, freedom of speech, freedom of religion, the right to do for you and yourselves, your children, your businesses. Individual rights to basically again, life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. Or will we be celebrating a great reset that returns us to those founding principles of making America America again? And if it is indeed making America America again, it will also allow us to make America wealthy again and make America great again. It is vital for each and every one of you to know that we have to create these barriers at each and every level, which is why we talk about the Congress passing things, defunding the Senate, passing things, protecting us from any treaties moving forward, and a state looking at legislation [01:12:00] and passing it to create yet another barrier to make sure that if any pandemic and they will come, that we will just simply say, no, we are not going to do anything or we're not going to basically, A give up of those individual freedoms and liberties moving forward, because we do hold these truths self-evident, that all men are created equal.

[01:12:20] **Ron Armstrong:** And we do believe that we are endowed by our creator. Those are the words that these people want to get out of our Constitution and out of our individual lives. We need to return the doctor and patient relationship again. We need to get the government out of and in between your doctor and you as a patient, and you and your children. We need to review the vaccinations and certainly what's in our food supplies. But we do not want to be distracted from the fact that we have a very small window. When God protected President Trump on that day, when the bullet hit his ear and not his head. It was to ask us a simple question, and that is, what will you now do? And I will leave [01:13:00] you with this. I believe that we need to pray as if God is in control of everything, but we need to basically act as if everything depends on us because it truly might be the case.

[01:13:12] **Frank Gaffney:** Thank you, Ron. Powerful, powerful closing comment. I wanted to see if we could do a lightning round of questions. I've got several of them, I

think, for each of our remaining panelists, but we don't have a lot of time. So if you could keep yourself confined to a minute or so in response, it would be most appreciated. I wanted to start. It's not been mentioned, I don't think, in the course of our conversation, but I think it needs to be that one of the driving forces behind this whole world government business, and in particular, one of the principal influencers in the World Health Organization is Communist China. And I wondered, Reggie, if you might say a word about having studied closely the Chinese over many [01:14:00] years, in particular in your women's rights without frontiers capacity, their horrific mistreatment of women and the Chinese people more generally through their means of controlling populations. The one child policy. What it means that they would be one of the arbiters of what's done and not done in world government.

[01:14:21] **Reggie Littlejohn:** The Communist Party has outsize authority in various government, international government, governmental organizations, including the World Health Organization. And I believe that they basically handpicked the head of it, Tedros Ghebreyesus. Who has who's really a piece of work and has the history of his own. But in any case, I saw the China model coming worldwide through the World Health Organization during the pandemic. And the thing that really concerned me was these what they called in the beginning, the vaccine passports which [01:15:00] now they call them digital IDs. They Frank, you and I established the Vaccine Passports Task force together, And I think that, you know, the fact that we did that made that nomenclature toxic. And so they don't call it that anymore, but it's the digital ID, and the digital ID can serve as a platform for the China Social Credit system, which is a digital gulag where they track everything that you do, every move that you make, and they come up with a score about how compliant of a citizen you are. If you have a high score, you have freedom. If you have a low score, you have no freedom. You can be even, even disappeared. And it's connected to your bank account and your credit card. And that model is being pushed out through the World Health Organization along with, you know, in the last pandemic, the mask mandates, the vaccine mandates, etc. But right now, the World Health Organization has partnered with the [01:16:00] European Union to roll out international interoperable digital IDs.

[01:16:07] **Reggie Littlejohn:** These also are in the new amended International Health regulations. And they can do worldwide exactly what's happening in China. And if and they say, oh, these have to do with health. Well, if you look at the World Economic

Forum website, they've got a chart about what these digital IDs will do. One thing is you'll have you need to have one in order to access healthcare and insurance, but also to have a bank account, to have a to operate a laptop or a cell phone, a communications device, to have a social media account, to travel, to vote, to pay your taxes. I mean, this is a way of surveilling and controlling us in every single aspect of our lives. And this is the China model writ wide. This is the reason that we need to withdraw from the World Health Organization. But even if and when we do that, [01:17:00] you know, that's just the beginning. That's not the end. We need to also withdraw or at Or at least counter these digital IDs as they're being pushed out, independent even of the World Health Organization. And also, but also in conjunction with the United Nations and other international organizations. And then we can talk about combining it with a CBDC. I don't think we have time to do that.

[01:17:20] **Frank Gaffney:** No. Not today.

[01:17:22] **Reggie Littlejohn:** Trapped in a digital gulag.

[01:17:24] **Frank Gaffney:** Yeah, the Central Bank. Digital currency. That's a topic for another day. Another summit. Doctor Tenpenny, I know that you've been concerned about one of those ideas, the real ID. Could you say a word about that looming here roughly the same time that the World Health Organization is supposed to finalize this pandemic treaty? I think we're supposed to all be hooked up to real IDs. Your thoughts, ma'am?

[01:17:48] **Dr. Sherri Tenpenny:** The real ID is like having the United Nations in your pocket. Is the first step to total surveillance of everything, including where you travel. I mean, think about all those things that [01:18:00] you see at the airport now about, you know, by May 7th, you have to have a real ID, which is really not true. They're kicking the can down the road another two years, but people are not going to be aware of it and they're going to roll over. I mean, are we going to have to have this identification, which comes with an entire system of control operations, control of our food, our money, our travel and things like that, even from the United Nations? And I guess one of my questions would be, you know, if we withdraw from the United Nations, does that stop the real ID, which is what we really need to do as citizens? Council for Health Freedom started a big campaign, and when they did, there was only a couple hundred people

that had that had complained about this in the Federal Register. And by the time we finished it, within two weeks, they had over 31,000 people who stood up against this real ID, and we need to make that a very high priority this year is to not do that, because what are they going to do once you have that to get on an airplane? Are they going to have it when you [01:19:00] go across state lines like they do at the turnpike that you have to show that travel pass to get through the turnpike. It's a very dangerous thing. People just think it's just a star on your driver's license, which it is not. There's an entire system behind it that impacts our sovereignty and puts us in bed with the United Nations.

[01:19:24] **Frank Gaffney:** Thank you very much, Doctor. Chris Uhlmann - you, I know, are tracking on the radar that you monitor Bill and Melinda Gates as formidable force. It seems to me to be pretty much consistently the case for evil. Could you talk a little bit about the fact that this World Health Organization is essentially being bought and paid for by the Gates Foundation and that it's a principal driver in decisions that they're making.

[01:20:00] **Kris Ullman:** Absolutely. [01:20:00] You know, when the W.H.O. was founded, it was really founded on donations from the member countries. But then they started allowing private donations. And the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation have been funding a lot of the W.H.O. programs, both individual programs to vaccinate, say, children in in Africa to Gavi and to some of the other kind of sub groups that they have started in order to be funding streams for W.H.O. programs. A lot of which have to do with mass vaccinations and things like that. So it's very dangerous because we know whoever pays the piper calls the tune. And the fact that the W.H.O. is really not even controlled by the member nations, but controlled with the money that is donated from private individuals really [01:21:00] is another blow to our sovereignty, because in our country, we're used to voting, and we voting in those people who make decisions for us are our senators, our congressmen, our president, our mayors, our governors, those things. But to know that even the administration's W.H.O. reps, you know, the people from the State Department and HHS who go to these W.H.O. conferences that they're not even really the ones who are in control, but somebody like Bill gates, who funds so much of the W.H.O. and decides which programs are going to get funding, which programs are going to be implemented is dangerous to our national sovereignty as well as our individual sovereignty. And so the quicker that we can get out of the W.H.O., the

better the better for our sovereignty. And hopefully we'll expose [01:22:00] exactly what's been happening, which is really, you know, Bill Gates has some very anti-population, anti-human being agenda. He believes that we should have fewer people. He believes in artificial food sources instead of natural food sources. So the sooner we can get out of this organization and the control that he has over it, the better.

[01:22:26] **Frank Gaffney:** Karen Brekken. There's been talk about warp speed some of it critical. And I wanted to just ask you - doctor Naomi Wolf and the team that she has been overseeing has been extraordinarily impressive in terms of mining the documentation that Pfizer itself was obliged to surrender [01:23:00] in terms of internal documentation. And the thing that just jumps out at you from all of that is that the. Companies. I think it's true of Moderna, too. We certainly know it's true of Pfizer. Knew that there were serious problems with their vaccines early on. I mean, you know, women having miscarriages. And, and you know coronary problems developing and the like. And this is, I guess, the thing that is so vexing about the fact that I'm pretty sure that that information was not shared with President Trump. And while he takes pride in the speed with which the vaccine was developed, he was in the dark, as certainly were the rest of us about the actual dangers associated with this. What [01:24:00] are your thoughts on the kind of accountability whether it's at the state level and states have been taking a lead on this, I think notably with the help of Ken Paxton, the attorney general in Texas. How important should that accountability be? Before we wind up getting these guys telling us what vaccine they've cooked up next for us and mandating its use?

[01:24:24] **Karen Bracken:** Well, I think it all boils down to the states, the governors or legislators following the Constitution. And you know, there's nothing in the Constitution that gives the federal government authority over healthcare. So what we need is, again, you know, I put in the comments we need to make America states again. We need to understand that the states - yes, one of our constitutional experts, Doctor Joe Wolverton, that works on with me and my organization. He also belongs [01:25:00] to John Birch Society. And he's actually starting a campaign called Make America States again. So it's just basically getting back to the Constitution if we would follow the Constitution, a lot of the assaults that we're facing today would no longer exist. And it's hard sometimes when the government steps up and says, well, gee, we want to write checks for this, and we want to do this for this. It all sounds wonderful, but what

happens is we become a prisoner to the federal dollars and the federal money and the federal control.

[01:25:37] **Frank Gaffney:** Right. There's strings attached, to be sure. Ron Armstrong, finally, I just wanted to come back to a point you made about the anti-God quality of this kind of scientific tyranny. If I could use that expression of the. The digital gulag is one piece of it, but the sort of scientific tyranny is another element of it. And I [01:26:00] know you'd be a man of profound faith as my. And it does seem to me this needs to inform what we're doing here. You've called for us to pray, and I think that's absolutely central to the pushback on all of this, that we believe that the sovereignty coalition needs to be made. Could you just speak a little bit further to this issue of assuming unto themselves godlike powers over our health and over, well, potentially life and death as well?

[01:26:34] **Ron Armstrong:** I feel like where we are currently, Frank is I saw a poll not long ago that was asking what people trusted, and it was it was shocking because it used to be that the That the relationship with your doctor or your hospital was considered critical and important and private. And [01:27:00] your records basically looking at your current situation and, and that was always a really tight situation. And I think since 2020 people realized their doctors cannot and can no longer even be honest with them. They can't give them options to be to be shared. You know, honestly, because it's all done by code now. It's all done by this is what we have to do. This is the treatment protocol. This is what it is. Which means that I almost look at that as being, again, more of a China model. And I saw it as we were and I think of, of you know, God created and gave us our, you know, our children to protect. And when we were masking our children and sending them to school because the government was telling us so. Does that not sound like China, where there is no value on human life? And I am I think we had an opportunity and I still think [01:28:00] we do that. The faith based people in this country, those that that understand that we should have individual rights and freedoms where they come from, they come from our creator. Basically, our founders said no. No law, no king or queen should be making laws that step on those unalienable rights that we should have.

[01:28:18] **Ron Armstrong:** And certainly those include you know, our, our, our, you know, our right to sovereignty as individuals because that's what God gave us, not our

government. And Steve Das had made a quote, and it always stuck with me that says, you know, we are we have never been a nation of laws. We are a no nation and never have been. We are a nation of political will and always will be. I think that's great because really where we are today is are we actually going to fight to uphold those natural law, those unalienable rights, those God given principles and freedom or liberty? Or are we willing to give those up in the name of [01:29:00] of comfort and convenience? In some ways, we look at the travel things that they're discussing. Many of these will be done as ways to make things more convenient, maybe implanting something to where you're going to pay with your palm or whatever. Do not you have to say no to those things. They're going to come. They're going to come in the name of convenience from within our own government and our own people that I believe are anti-God in our country. And they're going to come from those outside entities. Frank we really have hit a crossroads right now, I believe, of our the people going to stand up. And are they going to demand that government basically just uphold what is already ours to, to protect us? Or are we going to conform and just become you know, another country that is being told what we should or shouldn't do as a people.

[01:29:54] **Frank Gaffney:** Finally, important question on which to end. And in a way, it brings us back to the beginning. I think the people in this country [01:30:00] have spoken Donald Trump made it absolutely central to his political platform this election cycle, that he was going to stand up for sovereignty. He was going to stand up for America as a nation. And it's a constitutional character as well, of course. And I think the public as we have seen with a substantial popular majority as well as an electoral college, one voted for this Trump agenda. So it falls to us. And the purpose of this particular summit, and really, all of the work of the sovereignty coalition is to support those principles and to safeguard the liberties that too many of us take for granted, but that we saw imperiled, very directly imperiled in the assault, masked as a literally masked [01:31:00] as a pandemic response during Covid 19, and what may well be once again in the offing if we are not going to stand firmly against it. So thank you again for bringing back the centeredness that our faith in God provides Ron. And to all of our speakers for their excellent presentations. This has been a very informative conversation. We will have doubtless more of these to come as hopefully Bobby Kennedy takes up the mantle of the Secretary of Health and Human Services and begins working with Donald Trump and their team to make America healthy and make

America sovereign again. I will turn it back over to my friend and colleague, Dede Laugesen to close us out. But thank you all for joining us and God bless you.

[01:31:56] **Dede Laugesen:** Thank you, Frank, and thanks to all of our panelists and most especially [01:32:00] our audience, for being here today. A video of this webinar will be posted to Sovereignty Summit and Sovereignty coalition.org within a day or so of the conclusion of our program. Please share this and our other programs with your elected representatives, colleagues and other networks, and subscribe to our Substack at Sovereignty Substack. Follow us on Twitter at Coalition Sovereignty Coalition for updates. Thanks for joining us today and goodbye.