

TRANSCRIPT

SOVEREIGNTY SUMMIT 6

Can Trump Protect U.S. Privacy Rights and Sovereignty Against Globalists at the WHO and Threats at Home?

*Frank Gaffney and Reggie Littlejohn with Dr. Kat Lindley, Summer Ingram, Dr. Peter McCullough,
Kris Ullman, Twila Brase, Alex Newman, Andrea Nazarenko and Ron Armstrong.*

Thursday, March 6, 2025

<https://sovereigntysummit.org/sovereignty-summit-6/>

Media File: SovSummit 6.mp4

BEGIN TRANSCRIPT:

[00:00:05] **Dede Laugesen:** Hello everyone. Thanks for joining us today. I'm Dede Laugesen for the Sovereignty Coalition. We appreciate your presence at our sixth Sovereignty Summit. We encourage you to visit SovereigntyCoalition.Org for information on new programs, access to videos of our past summits and webinars, and tons of other very valuable content. Please subscribe [00:00:30] to our Substack at Sovereignty.substack.com and follow us on X at @SovCoalition. The webinar will be posted to SovereigntySummit.org and SovereigntyCoalition.org. Our moderator today is Frank Gaffney. Frank is president of the Institute for the American [00:01:00] Future, co-founder of the Sovereignty Coalition and host of Securing America on Real America's Voice Network.

[00:01:08] **Frank Gaffney:** Welcome, everyone, to this sixth in a series of sovereignty summits sponsored by our Sovereignty Coalition, a team of medical practitioners, national security experts, subject matter specialists, and other patriots who've come together to protect the sovereignty of the United [00:01:30] States in the face of concerted efforts. Unfortunately, both foreign and to some extent domestic, to transform our constitutional republic into just another geographic entity operating under the suzerainty of world government. This has been a particular problem of late [00:02:00] because the Chinese Communist Party, the World Health Organization and other aspects of the United Nations, the World Economic Forum, the European Union, and I'll

ask the Biden administration were quite set on advancing this agenda at the expense of not just our national sovereignty, but our personal freedoms, notably those impacting our [00:02:30] medical conditions and freedoms. We are pleased as a coalition that former president, then candidate and now president once again, Donald Trump has taken to heart the importance of preserving our sovereignty. We were delighted when he opted, in the course of his First Presidency to [00:03:00] withdraw from the World Health Organization in the aftermath of its disastrous performance in the Covid 19 pandemic. We were, of course, very upset at Joe Biden's action on his first day in office to reverse that decision. And not only that, but to add insult to injury, by throwing considerably more money at [00:03:30] the W.H.O., his administration went on to work assiduously to help first the W.H.O.

[00:03:38] **Frank Gaffney:** and then the United Nations adopt what amount to treaties that conferred upon the international bureaucrats who run the W.H.O. in the first instance and the United Nations in the second executive powers emergency [00:04:00] powers, to be sure, but to act not just to declare those emergencies, but also to ensure that nations like ours conformed with their dictates as to what needed to be done in response. So it was with, again, great enthusiasm that we witnessed President Trump during his candidacy for this presidency. [00:04:30] And now, upon returning to office, asserting once again the importance of our sovereignty and acting to withdraw us once again from the World Health Organization. The purpose of today's summit is to take stock of where we are, where the president and his administration are where we as a nation find ourselves, as well as where we as individual Americans are [00:05:00] with respect to this globalist agenda and its implications. Again, for sovereignty, yes. And for our personal privacy and freedoms. We have organized an extraordinary array of thoughtful indeed brilliant practitioners. I think just about all of them members of our coalition, the Sovereignty Coalition, [00:05:30] certainly all of them comrades in the fight for our freedoms. And we're going to talk about, from various perspectives various aspects of the situation in which we find ourselves at this moment.

[00:05:47] **Frank Gaffney:** We're going to begin with one of the frontline docs, the doctors who stepped forward during the Covid 19 pandemic and displayed considerable well, not only professional [00:06:00] competency, but also courage in standing up against the pressure to conform to the various demands of the World Health Organization, the federal government, and varied degrees to some extent state

governments, local ones, as well as professional associations to go along with the program. The prescriptions, many of them issued initially by Tedros Ghebreyesus, the [00:06:30] director general of the World Health Organization. One of the preeminent of those doctors is our friend, our colleague, our brilliant leader in this coalition, Doctor Kent Lindley. She is the president of the Global Health project. The founder of the Lindley Medical Group, among many other things, and we've asked her to sort of help level set for us on all of this by talking [00:07:00] about the World Health Organization's next object. And that is foisting upon us a pandemic agreement. What's wrong with this agreement and why we need the kind of leadership that we've seen in President Trump's executive order withdrawing us from the W.H.O. to combat what is now afoot. Doctor Lindley. It's great to have you with us. The floor is yours.

[00:07:30] **Dr. Kat Lindley:** Thank [00:07:30] you. Frank. It's an honor to be here. So today, I'm just going to set the stage for what has happened and why it's important that President Trump has withdrawn us from the World Health Organization. So, as many of you remember, in December of 2021, the leaders of nations, and some members of the World Health Organization got together and decided that because of what was happening during the Covid 19 pandemic, we needed a pandemic agreement and we needed to make some amendments to international health regulation because [00:08:00] in their opinion, this was going to happen over and over again and the world had to react together. Now, since then, we've had several versions of the pandemic treaty. It was called treaty agreement, many different names, but essentially it would have given the director of the World Health Organization, Doctor Tedros Ghebreyesus, adenomas. It would have given him powers to declare [00:08:30] an emergency of international concern. If there was an outbreak somewhere in the world. Then he would be able to close the area, close the border, limit the commerce between the states and essentially at some point issue. What type of treatments the doctors could have, whether we have new vaccinations, what type of tests we're using. And essentially it was leading towards this universal health passport. And [00:09:00] then a few years ago in, in during summer, they adopted the vaccination passport that the EU used because they said it really made sense and it worked really well during that time.

[00:09:11] **Dr. Kat Lindley:** They also did negotiations on the amendments to international health regulation, kind of to give them framework and funding for what they're trying to achieve with the pandemic treaty. We have been very active. Many of

our members have gone and testified in parliaments around the world. We had many [00:09:30] act now's where we had many of you sign them and send them to Congress. So when President Trump got into the office, one of the first executive orders he signed was actually on January 20th. He signed the order to help us exit and withdraw from the World Health Organization. Now, key details of that executive order are the intent to withdraw and that doing it in that 12 month notice period that's required by 1948, [00:10:00] US joint resolution accepting the W.H.O. membership. So it means that the withdrawal would be complete by January 20th of 2026. Another thing that he did ask was to look at the funds that were allocated to the World Health Organization and to stop them and ask people who are part of the World Health Organization from the United States side to actually stop [00:10:30] working. It also, the order also called for a review and replacement of the 2024 US Global Health Security Strategy, signaling a broader shift away from this multilateral health framework. Why is all this important, and why is it important for President Trump to actually withdraw us and continue on this journey is because the World Health Organization is still negotiating the pandemic treaty.

[00:10:57] **Dr. Kat Lindley:** They are hoping that the rest of the member states [00:11:00] are going to sign it in May in Geneva. And some of those things that they are trying to achieve. And one of our colleagues, Samar Ingram from Liberty Council, is going to expand on all this. But some of the things that they're trying to achieve is they want to become a world authority on health. They also want to have control over public health risk of international disease. They want to create digital health passport that can be shared [00:11:30] internationally for vaccines. They want to shift all of our health systems more towards one health and another colleague is going to speak about one health. Specifically, they demand equity in health use. They're going to try to control and development of patterns. So there are many, many issues. And even when we withdraw, there's going to be this new word that we're going to have to navigate, because we do have partners [00:12:00] who are going to be part of the World Health Organization. Now, an exciting thing is that we're seeing other leaders around the world trying to take the same exact stance that we are taking, which is to exit the World Health Organization. And I hope that by highlighting the dangers of the new treaty, many of these leaders are going to recognize that and step away from the World Health Organization. Thank you, Frank.

[00:12:26] **Frank Gaffney:** Thank you, Doctor Lindley. As always, you have set the stage brilliantly for [00:12:30] what is to come. I did want to emphasize something that we very strongly want to recommend to those watching this program, as well as those who will do so in the future. We have an online act at [Sovereignty coalition.org](https://sovereigntycoalition.org) that gives you an ability to engage directly in this fight for medical freedom and for sovereignty by communicating with your [00:13:00] elected representatives in the United States Congress, urging them to affirm and enshrine in law the United States withdrawal from the World Health Organization, lest we find ourselves at some point in the future with another president. Countermanding. I should say what President Trump has done, just as Joe Biden did previously. So [sovereignty coalition.org](https://sovereigntycoalition.org) [00:13:30] is where you can find that align act initiative. And I very strongly encourage you to join us in endorsing a bill that has been introduced in the House by Congressman Andy Biggs. We're going to hear next from a very important contributor to this organization. Her name is Summer Ingram. She has been, as we mentioned, a driving [00:14:00] force behind an analysis of this upcoming pandemic treaty. The next shoe to drop if the W.H.O. has its way. She is a vice president of the Liberty Council action, as well as Liberty counsel to organizations C4 and C3 that are in the very forefront of efforts to protect our sovereignty. We've asked Summer to present some of the results [00:14:30] of analyzes that her team has done at Liberty Counsel on the problems with the pandemic treaty, as it has begun to become known. To us, it is still a work in progress. There are many parts that have been agreed. There are other parts that have not yet, but we are anxious to hear from her as to what it looks like, what it would do to us, and how we should respond. Summer, thank you so much for joining us. Over to you.

[00:14:57] **Summer Ingram:** Thank you, Frank, and thank you, Reggie, of course, [00:15:00] for hosting another summit. This is an incredibly important summit and topic, and we are, as always, honored to be a part of it. Liberty Counsel Action has done, as I mentioned, a 13 page analysis which can be found at [LC action. Org](https://libertycounsel.org) forward slash who it should be dropped in the link should be dropped in the chat here momentarily. But for those that want the full analysis we'll have that ready for you there. I'm going to be discussing the benefits of the US not being party to the World Health Organization [00:15:30] or the pandemic treaty, as well as the impact on America. If, God forbid, there is a pandemic on Trump's President Trump's watch, as well as the impact if America reenters the World Health Organization and signs on to the pandemic treaty at some point in the future. So some of the positives is that the US cannot be penalized by

an international court for not adhering to the Who directives. The US can keep and sell 100% of our own vaccines and medical supplies. We don't have to give up [00:16:00] rights to 20% of what we manufacture to other nations. The US would not have to comply with the Who vaccine mandates and passports, although US citizens would likely have to be subject to those mandates should they travel outside the United States.

[00:16:15] **Summer Ingram:** It saves billions of American tax dollars every year, since we are no longer part of the World Health Organization. Also, US agencies are no longer communicating with the World Health Organization or implementing their directives. There are hundreds [00:16:30] of national focal points or collaboration centers around the world, 68 within the United States. There were 68 within the United States, of which the HHS and CDC were a party to. HHS was a national focal point in Trump's President Trump's executive order, he recalls, and reassigns United States government personnel or contractors working in any capacity with the Who. So we're thankful to hear that we're not sure exactly how some of those [00:17:00] other collaborative collaboration centers are still functioning, but we do appreciate President Trump's recalling of those workers from interacting with the World Health Organization. If there is a pandemic on President Trump, President Trump's watch, there are some real concerns. If the secretary general of the World Health Organization were to encourage nations who are a party to the World Health Organization or the pandemic Treaty, which is around 180 190 nations. [00:17:30] They could encourage those nations to stop selling lifesaving prescription drugs to America, encourage nation states to close their borders to our citizens, or control or limit materials or some of the supply chain which would cripple our nation.

[00:17:45] **Summer Ingram:** The Hill reported just in February of this year, which is a shocking number. Many Chinese produced drugs are generics and account for 91% of prescriptions dispensed in the United States of America. So hopefully we'll see that change where Americans [00:18:00] do more of our own production of prescription drugs. But you can see the challenges should they determine that nations should no longer provide those drugs to us. Not being party to the World Health Organization creates challenges, again, because the US is sovereign, but we are still very much interdependent upon these nations. And I just explained an example of that. Also, American citizens are not subject to the Who mandates Unless we leave the country. So again, if there's a pandemic on President Trump's watch and people leave [00:18:30]

the country, they would be subject to a variety of the concerns that I'm going to go into a little bit more here and that are in that analysis on the website. If America reenters the world health organizations at some point in the future, there's no waiting period to reenter the, the, the Who or, and essentially those international health regulations or other regulations that if approved in this pandemic treaty, there would be no waiting period for those for the American, for Americans to be subject to those [00:19:00] regulations.

[00:19:02] **Summer Ingram:** There's concerns with what we call loaded terms oftentimes in international and global government, and specifically with the pandemic treaty, there's something called loaded terms. Oftentimes they define they have their own definition of words, or they have things defined so vaguely that it's impossible to know exactly what it means. For instance, digital health resources is a very vague term, but we've seen through other [00:19:30] documentation that it could lead to invasive surveillance and dictatorial control. So there's several loaded terms in this pandemic treaty that we are concerned about. The one health approach, which, again, one of our colleagues, colleagues will be going to in more detail soon is of concern as well. Again, this is elevating the rights of plants and animals to the same level of protection as humans, which as Americans, we believe that our rights are inalienable and come from God. [00:20:00] So as soon as we break that nexus, it creates challenges for us. If the state can determine our rights and the state can take them away, we need to continue to ensure that God remains the author. And of those rights concerning language also is again related to digital health passports, which can be shared internationally for vaccines, test results and much more demanding standards of control over everything from plants and pet to pets.

[00:20:28] **Summer Ingram:** They do give lip [00:20:30] service about national sovereignty, but they lay the framework that would hamstring or punish nations who do not comply. So if the US at some point goes back into the World Health Organization or the pandemic treaty, and we do not comply with what these mandates are, there could be severe punishment for the United States in a variety of ways. Doctor Kat spoke on controlling patents and development of patents. Censoring disinformation and misinformation. They are [00:21:00] intent on controlling the narrative, especially as it relates to facts that conflicts with their goals. So there's concerns that we'll continue to see extreme regulation of information or censorship that is problematic for Americans

who believe in free speech, forcing international surveillance by governments mandating that medical staff and facilities participate in forced procedures and vaccinations, or other procedures that violate their conscience without [00:21:30] religious carve outs or conscience protections, they again acknowledge national sovereignty, but ultimately limit and often dismiss it. So that is my presentation here on the some of the most concerning elements of the pandemic treaty and how that would affect us right now or in the future. And that full analysis, again, is at LCC action org forward slash two. Thank you again, Frank. I so appreciate this opportunity. [00:22:00]

[00:22:00] **Frank Gaffney:** Thank you so much. You've done a very important service in elaborating on these various points. We'll be exploring them further. I know in the course of the program we're going to hear next from Chris Uhlmann, another very important contributor to the Sovereignty Coalition's work. Chris is the national president of a marvelous organization founded originally by a dear friend of mine, Phyllis Schlafly. It's called Eagle Forum. Chris brings to [00:22:30] this position some very important experience on Capitol Hill as a legislative director for then Senator John Ashcroft, and she subsequently worked for him when he was the Attorney General of the United States, serving in the role of Deputy assistant, excuse me, associate attorney general in the Office of Legal Policy. Who better to talk about the point that I made in connection with our Align Act campaign, the importance of addressing, where possible [00:23:00] not just in executive orders, but in legislation, the policy direction that we think the country needs, namely, to stay out of the clutches of the globalists of the World Health Organization. Chris, it's great to have you with us. Of course yours.

[00:23:16] **Kris Ullman:** Thank you so much, Frank. It's great to be with you all today. I was asked to speak on executive orders versus legislation. Why? Making policy into law matters. First, let me answer that question on why [00:23:30] it matters. It matters because in order to make our withdrawal from the World Health Organization permanent, we must have legislation. Congress must act. Now, we are grateful for what President Trump has done with his executive order. As my previous speakers have mentioned, the executive order is really quite wonderful. But as we saw at the end of the last Trump administration, the next [00:24:00] president can come in and undo everything that was done by an executive order. That is why we need permanent legislation. And in terms of the W.H.O., what we really need is a repeal of that 1948 legislation that authorized the United States joining the W.H.O. That is really key for

several reasons. Let me go again quickly through Trump's executive order what it [00:24:30] did. It obviously withdrew us or made notice that we were withdrawing from the W.H.O. The UN has acknowledged receipt of the letter dated 22nd January 2025. And they say that it would take effect on 20 the 22nd of January in 2026. That's according to a UN spokesman. So that is what they believe. Exit day [00:25:00] is and that might very well be our exit date unless we can get a certain bill passed by Congress. Obviously, the executive order repeals a previous Biden administration order.

[00:25:19] **Kris Ullman:** It directs the Office of Management and Budget to pause any future money going to the W.H.O. This, again, is great, but [00:25:30] as we've seen in the last couple of weeks, the opposition is taking the administration to court. So once again, congressional action on this would end the debate of whether or not the president can do this on his own. And the last thing that does not need congressional authorization is that section four of the executive order says that the secretary of state will cease negotiations on the pandemic agreement treaty and the amendments [00:26:00] to the International Health Regulations, and that they will have no binding force in the United States. This is fantastic. But the W.H.O. is negotiating, and it could impact us anyway. There's some discussion by the globalist as to whether the president has the authority to pull out of the W.H.O. on his own, or whether or not he needs congressional approval under international law. It's very clear that the president could pull us out. There's nothing [00:26:30] in international law that says Congress needs to act. In fact, there's nothing in the W.H.O. constitution about countries pulling out. I guess they thought that once you're in, you're in forever. But thankfully, the United States Congress in 1948 actually included language in there saying that the United States had the ability to remove itself from the W.H.O. with a year's notice. And if they're all paid up on the [00:27:00] amount of money that they owe.

[00:27:02] **Kris Ullman:** So that was in our implementing legislation at the time. And so that's why it's very important that we pass a repeal of that implementing legislation because it would remove those qualifications, I believe, of the one year requirement and the need to have all our dues paid. I think that is the reason that we would want to go that route. Let [00:27:30] me just say that in the 119th Congress that began on January 3rd, so far, five bills have been introduced that deal with the W.H.O., all of them with limiting or limiting the US involvement or getting us out of the W.H.O. in total. And then there's another two bills that would repeal that 1948 implementing legislation in a larger

bill that would also get us out of the W.H.O. Let me start with the bill that [00:28:00] Frank mentioned and others mentioned as part of the Line act, and that's H.R. 54 by Congressman Andy Biggs. Begs and it has 21 co-sponsors. This is best because it repeals the legislation that got us into the W.H.O., and it does not allow any funding. And again, the reason that is so important is because if we repeal that law, that's the law that says we have to wait a year and need to be up to date on our funding. [00:28:30] Congressman Biggs is trying to get this legislation into the reconciliation bill that Congress is trying to pass to create tax cuts and more money for the border.

[00:28:42] **Kris Ullman:** That bill has to deal with spending. And he believes that because his Who Bill does deal with spending, that it would be an appropriate vehicle. It would be part of a much larger bill and therefore much more likely to be signed. So please go to the Align act on [00:29:00] the Sovereignty Coalition website and sign on to that Align act to let Congress know that that's the bill we want. Very quickly, Chip Roy in 15 co-sponsors have a bill that simply eliminates the funding for the W.H.O. It does not get us out of the W.H.O. It doesn't repeal anything, but it says no money goes to the W.H.O. That's great. As a kind of backup, we want to move. [00:29:30] You know, we want as much momentum on this as possible. H.R. 54, the Andy Biggs bill is our number one. But there's nothing wrong with the pure defunding bill as well, even though it does not get us out of the W.H.O. now. Jodey Arrington from Texas has a bill called H.R. 600 who is Accountable Act. And he's been introducing this bill since 2021, in response to Biden reentering the Who. And [00:30:00] what this bill does is it says there's no money that goes to the Who, unless basically the Who cleans up their act unless certain conditions are met, like they're not under the control of the CCP. They're more transparent and accountable. They adopt meaningful reforms to ensure humanitarian assistance, and they grant observer status to Taiwan.

[00:30:27] **Kris Ullman:** Now in another [00:30:30] time and place with Biden in the white House, that may have seen, like a very good option. But I would argue that we have the opportunity now to get out of the W.H.O., and that should be the bare minimum. We should not go to reform. We should just say we're not going to be in it and we're not going to fund it. There is a companion bill in the Senate on that as well. And then Congressman Senator Barrasso and 15 co-sponsors have another bill called [00:31:00] Defending American Sovereignty in Global Pandemics Act, and this bill is very similar to the bill we dealt with last Congress that said that the Senate had to give

its advice and consent before we get into any agreement, treaty, health regulations, anything like this. This bill is a little bit better and that it says we can't be party to any international agreement that deals with pandemics unless and until [00:31:30] the Senate gives its advice and consent. Obviously, we think it's better to be out of the W.H.O. altogether. But I did want to mention that, Bill, because some of you might say, whatever happened to that legislation we were pushing last Congress, this is the 119th Congress's kind of updated version of that, although this bill does say that if the Senate ratifies a treaty on pandemic preparedness from the Who, that we can reestablish funding [00:32:00] with the W.H.O., so we don't want that.

[00:32:04] **Kris Ullman:** Finally the defund act is the larger bill that gets us out of the UN and the W.H.O. This Congress, it's six, six, nine in the Senate and H.R. 1498 in the House. Both of them are called the defund act. And that bill gets us out of the United Nations and all its subsidiaries, including [00:32:30] the W.H.O. And this bill actually specifies that the joint resolution from 1948 that got us into the W.H.O. is repealed as well. So it kind of takes that Andy Biggs language, puts it in a larger bill that gets us out of the UN and everything having to do with the UN. So those are the bills. Again, I want to focus on H.R. Air 54. That is Andy Biggs [00:33:00] bill. And that is the one that I believe. Especially if we can get it in the larger reconciliation package will get us out of the W.H.O., and we'll end all funding for the W.H.O. and will really make permanent what President Trump did in his executive order. And his executive order was great because it opened the door. And now we need to go through. We need to, you know, catch this football and run it all the way to the end zone. And [00:33:30] you can help by going to the Align act on the Sovereignty Coalition website and letting your member of Congress know that you want us permanently out of the W.H.O. Thank you so much.

[00:33:43] **Frank Gaffney:** First of all, thank you. What a wonderful, comprehensive treatment. It's testimony to the work you do at the Eagle Forum with your grassroots organization making these kinds of representations at the state level in a very powerful [00:34:00] way. And we appreciate your encouragement to everyone to join us at the Online Act for taking this fight specifically to members of the House of Representatives and, of course, the United States Senate as well in support of H.R. 54. And if this bill about the W.H.O., I'm very pleased to say thanks to some wonderful speed editing by our technology guru here at the Sovereignty Coalition, we [00:34:30] have portions of an interview that I did this morning for our television program on Real America's Voice,

entitled Securing America, featuring Doctor Peter McCullough, another of our wonderful frontline doctors, author with John Luke of a book entitled The Courage to Face Covid 19 about the last pandemic, we've asked Doctor [00:35:00] Peter McCullough for his permission to use this edited version of his interview as a contribution to this program, and graciously agreed to talk about what may well be the next pandemic. You heard from Summer Ingram that a lot of fulmination about a pandemic treaty and the expectation that there will shortly be another pandemic and what that might entail at the hands, in particular, of a newly empowered director [00:35:30] general of the W.H.O. We asked specifically doctor McCullough to talk about bird flu, what it is, how it has come to be a possible pathogenetic pandemic virus, and what we should do about it. Should that eventuate? I believe that. His insights born of hard experience, notably with the Covid 19 virus and the [00:36:00] vaccinations, which proved to be actually more dangerous than the disease that it was supposed to cure or prevent, is very, very important grist for our mill in this particular sixth sovereignty summit. Let's go to the video with Doctor Cohen now.

[00:36:21] **Dr. Peter McCullough:** McCullough Foundation research indicates that the current strain or clade of bird flu, H5n1 highly [00:36:30] pathogenic avian influenza, its clade 2344B that had actually originated from a lab, the USDA Poultry Research Laboratory in Athens, Georgia. And there, in the published abstracts and work, researchers in collaboration with Doctor Kurokawa Shirakawa at USC. Wisconsin School of Veterinary Medicine and Doctor Ron Fouchier at Erasmus University in Netherlands. They were collaborating. They were trying to get the virus [00:37:00] to spread among mallard ducks through what's called serial passage. Research to study the receptors in the gullet of the duck. Well, as misadventure would have it frank in lab leaks, one of the mallard ducks must have gotten out, and now, for the last four years, has spread bird flu all over the country.

[00:37:19] **Frank Gaffney:** And as you say, highly pathogenic, meaning dangerous bird flu, at least for fowl. Is that right?

[00:37:27] **Dr. Peter McCullough:** That was the original term. [00:37:30] And in prior outbreaks, human mortality, you know, was as high as 50% in Southeast Asia. You know, very different lack of health care, families sleeping with chickens. But in so far in the United States over the four years, it while it spread to many species of mammals,

about 40 species. We've never seen this before. So sea mammals and cows and domestic cats we saw cases in humans [00:38:00] that were mild. They simply were a case of pinkeye. And there's been roughly 60 to 70 cases now. Summary. Published in the New England Journal of Medicine by Garg et al. Characterizes them. But in the last few months, Frank, it's taken a turn for the worse.

[00:38:18] **Frank Gaffney:** And what does that mean, exactly, in terms of it being a danger to public health of humans?

[00:38:24] **Dr. Peter McCullough:** We saw the emergence of the D 1.1 strain out of this clade, and [00:38:30] that had the ability to go into the human lungs and cause severe disease. So we're at a total now of three severe cases. Two of them were fatal. A young girl in British Columbia survived it, but she required ICU mechanical ventilation, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, ECMO. Fortunately, she survived. A man in Louisiana, 65 years old, was not so fortunate. He died and now a [00:39:00] toddler in Cambodia has died again. Families sleeping with chickens. Close proximity, potentially a different strain there. But what's going on is our biosecurity principal management technique on this is failing. And that is the biosecurity management technique is that chickens are sampled. Roughly a dozen or so are sampled in a pooled sample. And if any one of these [00:39:30] swabs turns positive, then the entire flock is destroyed. So we have a situation where the farmers don't know which chicken actually has the virus because of the sampling technique. They destroy the entire flock, and then they take the facility offline for about three months. And the only reason why they would do this is they're getting rich government subsidies to do this. And then now that's driven the price of eggs higher. And so they're getting a higher [00:40:00] profit of the eggs sold. So we have a double perverse incentive now for this failed biosecurity measure. It's allowed the virus to go for too long. Now it's reassorted with other viruses and it's taken a turn for the worse.

[00:40:14] **Frank Gaffney:** So we're talking about a man made pandemic caused by a US government laboratory, in this case not one in China. It is [00:40:30] now spread worldwide and is mutating in ways that are not only dangerous for various animal populations, but there's reason to believe increasingly human populations as well.

[00:40:43] **Dr. Peter McCullough:** Bird flu has been around for over 100 years. A review by Lipset and colleagues indicates these outbreaks are typically two years, and they just end with natural immunity. The animals develop a herd immunity to it, and the virus quells by killing flock after [00:41:00] flock. Frank, we estimate only 10,000 chickens have died of the virus, yet it's 200 million have been intentionally killed in this biosecurity process called culling. And that 200 million, they were healthy. They could have provided, you know, both poultry and eggs to the food chain. Now we're presented with the specter of mass vaccination. And there's, you know, two, two populations. One is the animal population and the other is the human. The animal population for bird flu [00:41:30] vaccination has been tried in China and Southeast Asia decades ago. It failed. It basically allows the animals to even carry more virus and spread it around. The vaccines are not sterilizing. In 2021, CSL Aquarius, you know, had an FDA licensed human bird flu vaccine, but more humans died in the in the normal human volunteer trial with the shot. It didn't look safe. So the US government has not advanced it under [00:42:00] the Biden administration. It was announced \$590 million was given to Moderna to develop a messenger RNA bird flu vaccine. The Gates Foundation and Cepi are developing a self-replicating messenger RNA vaccine for bird flu. And now, you know, we hear about an antigen based poultry vaccine just recently announced by the US government for our chickens and the specter of messenger [00:42:30] RNA vaccines for humans. Some places around the world, Finland, they've actually already started to vaccinate humans. I can tell you as a doctor, it's unwise to ever vaccinate into a widely prevalent pandemic because we'll simply foster the development of resistant viral strains. And this week, a new USDA secretary, Brooke Rollins, was out. And fortunately, she mentioned that possibility and said, you know, we're going to look at this carefully. We don't want to create resistant [00:43:00] bird flu strains. Thank goodness she's talking to reason.

[00:43:04] **Frank Gaffney:** Yeah. So talk a little bit about the McCullough prescription for what one should do to protect yourself from bird flu. Aside from obviously don't sleep with chickens I guess, or mallard ducks for that matter. But doctor McCullough, you, as was true of the Covid 19 [00:43:30] pandemic, had some very practical solutions to deal with it. If you do contract this disease.

[00:43:37] **Dr. Peter McCullough:** First and foremost, anyone close to these animals should be doing a nasal spray and gargle twice a day. Probably the best is a dilute

iodine based gargle. It's available from wellness company as Immune Mist or over-the-counter as Betadine or Cofix twice a day. Spray it up. Sniff it back. Spit it out. Blow it out. Don't let the virus set up [00:44:00] in the nose. And then gargle and Gargles can be scope. Listerine, Betadine, gargles. Those are, you know, all reasonable to do twice a day. Now, remember, the virus is up in the nose for five days and you never know it. And then the first symptom is a sore throat. By that time, it's too late. Don't wait for the sore throat. Do the spray twice a day. And then for our workers, now prophylactically. Yeah, well, this company has actually provided free kits. So we have a prevent [00:44:30] and Protect program. Well, in this company right now farmers can access our website and get a free kit shipped out, which will contain critical drugs to treat influenza early. Now we advise getting the test. The PCR test done for bird flu. But don't wait. Start treatment. And so far, when this has been done in the New England Journal of Medicine Analysis, we can keep the cases mild. The severe cases that I've reported, none of them [00:45:00] were using nasal sprays or got early treatment.

[00:45:02] **Frank Gaffney:** So these are not exotic vaccines or even medicines. You're talking about a mouthwash, for heaven's sakes, and an iodine spray readily available. And thank you for making your kit available to farmers in particular, because obviously they have a greater exposure than most of us do. Do you see a circumstance, sir, [00:45:30] under which we might wind up having a mandated vaccinations again. And how strenuously should we resist that idea?

[00:45:41] **Dr. Peter McCullough:** I think the event to watch for is human to human spread, and we're getting very close to this. There's reports now of mammal to mammal spread out of South America. If we get to human to human spread, I think there'll be such fear that spread across government and public health agencies that vaccines [00:46:00] will be rolled out. And I think it's inadvisable. The current ones all look like they're dangerous, and the risk would far outweigh the benefits.

[00:46:11] **Frank Gaffney:** I think we lost his last words there. The risk would far outweigh the benefits. Was doctor McCullough's final comment? I think a very helpful depiction of what's perhaps the next pandemic to be foisted upon us with the kinds of, [00:46:30] quite I possibly ill advised, if not downright malfeasant prescriptions out of the World Health Organization, the centers for Disease Control and others that we saw in the last one. We're going to turn next to a woman who has been, well, one of the

founding members of Dede, the co-founder with me of the Sovereignty Coalition, Reggie Littlejohn. She has been very, very concerned, as [00:47:00] I think have we all, and with good reason about what are some of these implications for the globalist agenda in terms of sovereignty, in terms of some of the things that Summer in particular talked about censorship and the digital gulag, as it's been called. And we've asked Reggie to give us a sense of just how Problematic. [00:47:30] This is notably in connection with the International Health Regulations amending treaty, the one that was agreed during the Biden administration, the one that is now being finalized by the W.H.O. and the Pandemic Preparedness and Response Treaty. And more generally, you know, what is afoot in this space that has real bearing and possibly very, very [00:48:00] inimical implications for the sovereignty and freedom of the American people. Let's go to Reggie Littlejohn at this point. Welcome back. Over to you.

[00:48:11] **Reggie Littlejohn:** Thank you so very much. And it's a pleasure to be here. It was a great day when President Trump withdrew us from the World Health Organization the second time. It's something for which I and every, all the panelists that we have on this webinar worked very, very hard. And people internationally also [00:48:30] have been working very hard on issues concerning the World Health Organization and in particular, getting the United States to withdraw. Although if we do not have legislation that codifies this into law, then the next president could put us right back in. And in fact, President Trump once made a comment that he would might consider putting us back in if they were to clean up their act. And so, for all the reasons that have been stated by the previous panelists and reasons, I will state now, [00:49:00] we urge President Trump to stay the course, not put us back in. So there are two agreements that are at issue here. One is the International Health Regulations, the other is the pandemic treaty, the International Health Regulations passed last May, late May, early June, and the pandemic treaty is up for vote, this one. And between the two of them people have been talking about this. I'm going to just highlight some of the stuff that was not spoken about between [00:49:30] the two of them. In the International Health Regulations, they have something called the international IHR Focal Point, which shall coordinate the implementation of these of the regulations within the jurisdiction of the nation.

[00:49:46] **Reggie Littlejohn:** So we have or at least until President Trump withdrew us, we had international focal points that that whose job was to implement whatever the World Health Organization wanted and to actually adjust our legislation [00:50:00]

domestic legislation in accordance with it. The IHR amendments had required states to develop, strengthen and maintain core capacities in relation to surveillance. If you put that together with a one health approach from the pandemic treaty, you'd be surveilling humans, animals and plants all together basically surveilling every aspect of life on Earth. Then there's also censorship in the international health regulations, including [00:50:30] addressing misinformation and disinformation, which is basically anything that the World Health Organization disagrees with, including things that are true. Like, for example, in the beginning they disagreed that there was human to human transmission. And if you said there was, that would be misinformation or disinformation, and it ended up being true. So these are the things that have already passed. So, you know, thanks to President Trump, we're not subject to them, thank goodness. But you [00:51:00] know, the pandemic treaty is still up. And the rest of the world is subject to this, with the exception of Argentina, who's also withdrawn. But in the pandemic treaty, we have this, this one health approach, which I just want to mention that the one health approach has to do with the interface between humans, animals and plants, but equalizing them.

[00:51:24] **Reggie Littlejohn:** It's not like there's humans at the top and then animals and then plants. They're all equal. And in fact, [00:51:30] the Lancet had called had an article called A call for Ecological Equity in which they said that according to this shift in perspective, all life is equal and of equal concern. And so this is a way of dehumanizing people so that your life, my life, is not worth any more than the bush in our backyard. But it's also a way of possibly I guess, serving [00:52:00] as a pretext for land grabs because they have this zoonotic you know, that that pathogens can leap from, from animals to humans. And so the solution then is to keep humans away from animals, meaning no one in the wilderness, you know, don't live in the rural areas. Et cetera. So that's very concerning. So it's wonderful that that President Trump withdrew us from it. He should stay the course, not go back. And [00:52:30] I would urge everyone to sign the a line act that people have mentioned on the Sovereignty Coalition website. That will encourage Congress to codify this into law. So we can't just be put right back in the way that Biden put us back in the first time that Trump withdrew us.

[00:52:47] **Reggie Littlejohn:** But these international organizations are not the only danger that we have to our privacy rights. And, in fact, there's a new initiative that has arisen under the Trump administration called Stargate [00:53:00] that also raises

privacy concerns. So I want to say from the outset, I'm not trying to criticize President Trump. You know, I am so grateful to him for withdrawing from the World Health Organization and for the many other things that he has done to maintain our sovereignty and basically to not cooperate with the globalists. But I have concerns about Stargate, which I'd like to address. He announced Stargate on the first full day of his. [00:53:30] Of his presidency. It's 500 billion. That is half \$1 trillion. That's going to be going into data. Massive data centers. They've already started them in Texas. They're going to be spread throughout the country. And there was not a whole lot of information given about, you know, who's financing this, what it's really for. And what kind of controls are going to be on that data in terms of what it's for? Basically, Larry Ellison got up and said, well, we need AI [00:54:00] to develop individualized mRNA vaccines for cancer, which Doctor Robert Malone, who developed mRNA vaccines or had a significant hand in it, said that that's naive, that's completely naive, grossly naive, I think is what he actually said.

[00:54:19] **Reggie Littlejohn:** So then if you dig around, you will find that that Larry Ellison had a gave a talk at Oracle, I believe it was in October of 2024 [00:54:30] where he gave his vision of society, which is everyone is going to be wearing a body cam and there's going to be dash cams on all the cars. There's going to be surveillance cameras on all the homes, ring cameras. There's going to be surveillance cameras on all of the, you know, every street corner. And that what that's going to do is it's going to keep the police on their best behavior, because everything they do is going to be reported up to AI. And also all the rest of us will always be on our best behavior because everything we do, everything we say is going to be reported to AI. [00:55:00] And so my question is, you know, how much of this is he hoping to achieve through Stargate? Is President Trump even aware of this video? I doubt it. I mean, you have to do some digging to find it. But what specific surveillance capacities does Larry Ellison envision for Stargate? What if these powerful AI data collection centers were to fall into the wrong hands? You know, who knows who's going to be the next president and what they might use this for? Or [00:55:30] hackers. What they might use it for. How much of the data collected will be sensitive personal information? I mean, if we're all wearing body cams, a lot of it's going to be sensitive personal information.

[00:55:40] **Reggie Littlejohn:** What protections will be in place to guard against exploitation of this personal data, to be used for monitoring, surveillance or tracking or

profiling? In other words, if you're having a conversation with your friend about your faith and you say things like, I'm pro-life or I'm pro-family or whatever it is, and you get an administration in [00:56:00] that is anti-life and anti-family, they can profile you and you can become a target. How do what safeguards are there to ensure that the AI driven decision making will not be biased or discriminatory? How will these massive data facilities be protected from cyber-attacks or other forms of sabotage? How will Stargate escalate the international AI arms race? I think that's inevitable and could end up plunging us into a digital gulag. So are we allowing the competition for AI supremacy [00:56:30] with which I agree. I mean, I understand the need for us to be number one in AI and even for national security reasons. But is that eclipsing discussions about ethics and safety? So I think the best thing to do would be to have a congressional hearing and to bring the major players concerning this Stargate in for questioning by Congress and see what their answers are to these very important questions. Thank you.

[00:56:56] **Frank Gaffney:** Thank you. Reggie. I appreciate you rounding out in this [00:57:00] very forward looking way. The topics that we've been principally consumed by at the Sovereignty Coalition. It is deeply troubling, I think, to all of us that care about privacy and care about? Well, for that matter, humanity as well as our freedoms that we're looking into. The mall of various well, AI and transhumanist agendas that seem utterly inimical [00:57:30] to those values and priorities. We will, I think, probably be talking about that a bit more in Q&A shortly. We're going to turn next to a woman I've not had the privilege of meeting myself just yet, but I've heard marvelous things about her. Twyla Brase is her name. She is a registered nurse. She is the co-founder and president of Citizens Council for Health Freedom, and has been making a very serious study of [00:58:00] speaking of digital gulags, the contribution that could be made to them. Before you even get to some of the scenarios that Reggie's just discussed through the adoption. By law, I think by early May world nationwide of the so-called real ID standards. We have a video tape.

[00:58:26] **Twyla Brase:** Hello. My name is Twyla Brase. I am president of Citizens Council [00:58:30] for Health Freedom. I co-founded the organization nearly 30 years ago. And right now, today I want to talk with you about real ID. Now, real ID is actually a national ID card. It is not what most people think. It is going to assert federal control over not only flight, but eventually, maybe every transaction that you make. So the right now, the TSA is about [00:59:00] to begin on May 7th, something called progressive

enforcement with progressive consequences. And our organization, Citizens Council for Health Freedom, is here to ask you to not get the real ID. Do not get the real ID. This entire campaign about you can't fly without a real ID is meant to push you into compliance, into submission with an unconstitutional national ID card [00:59:30] that gives federal control over not only flight, but, as I said, eventually, perhaps other transactions. We believe that the real ID system, it's not just a card. We believe that the real ID system will eventually be digitized, put on your phone and outsiders government will have access remote, real time access to your phone and to your identification credential, which they can then just shut down at [01:00:00] will. So thus we are asking you to ignore all the all the advertisements, all the marketing, all the government warnings. And if you are concerned that our organization, which is leading this charge against real ID, if you are concerned that you will not be able to fly, I ask you to look at the list of 16 other identification cards that can be used for flight and get one of those, in particular a passport or a passport [01:00:30] card.

[01:00:31] **Twyla Brase:** Now, let me just say a few more things about real ID, because this is probably new to you. And let me direct you to our special website where you can take action, talk to Congress, ask them to repeal it. Ask them to use a Congressional Review Act to simply force the TSA to withdraw this progressive enforcement rule. So go to go to refuse real id.org, refuse real id.org, and you [01:01:00] will get all the information that I'm about to tell you, including a list of seven Reasons to Act. Seven reasons to tell Congress to stop the real ID. To stop the progressive enforcement of the TSA. But just a few things to whet your appetite, as it were, and inform you more about this card, which is not just a card, but an entire national identification system. One is that US Senator Lamar Alexander, when they [01:01:30] right before they passed the real ID act in 2005, he said on the floor of the Senate, he said, this is really a national identification card for the United States of America. For the first time in our history. We've never done this before, and we shouldn't be doing it without a full debate. And he said, I'm very reluctant for this country to have a national ID card. They never had that debate. They simply passed it. And then within three years they asked all the [01:02:00] states to comply. And the states, most of the states, 38 of them, either passed laws prohibiting conformance with it or passed resolutions opposing it, and nothing happened for until President Obama came up with this claim of you can't fly without a real ID, and suddenly state legislators caved.

[01:02:20] **Twyla Brase:** They didn't know what to do. Their constituents. You were calling, saying, I need to get to. I need to visit my grandchildren, I need to travel, I need to this and that. And they just forgot all about [01:02:30] states rights and your privacy rights. And so now is our opportunity. With 44% of cards still not compliant with this unconstitutional directive, now is our opportunity as citizens to take action and stop real ID? Our organization is also working with legislators in a variety of states who are writing bills to withdraw from the federal real ID card and system. And so we [01:03:00] ask you. I ask you to refuse the real ID. Look on your look on your identification card. Look on your driver's license, your ID card. Is there a star? If there is a star, you have a real ID. Only five states mandate real ID. The rest of the states allow you to have a standard driver's license. If you have a star on your card and you are not in those five states, I'm asking you to go to the DMV within the next week or so or within the next month, [01:03:30] but go get yourself a standard driver's license so they cannot just flip the switch and force all of us into a digital national ID.

[01:03:38] **Twyla Brase:** And just one more thing about that digital national ID. The head of all the state DMVs, driver vehicle state DMV driver, vehicle groups in the state government, the head of all of them testified to Congress in December 2023 that their plan is to digitize the real ID, put [01:04:00] it on your phones, and have remote and real time access to it. They call their testimony. Beyond real ID. So I'm asking you to go to refuse real ID or refuse real id.org and take action to tell Congress that you do not want this and you want them to stop it. And we have all the information there and all the quick, quick messages by X and by Facebook and then the opportunity [01:04:30] as well to just call them and leave a message. Calling them is really important. They really, they get that probably better than anything else. So again, my name is Twila Brase, Citizens Council for Health Freedom. We believe, like I said, that it won't just be flight in the future. It'll be access to medical care, buying a gun, renting a hotel room, renting an automobile getting married, everything else that they could decide that you need this national ID or [01:05:00] otherwise you won't get access to it. So take action today. Go to refuse real id. Org and again, Twila Brase Citizens Council for Health Freedom. Thank you so much for taking action today.

[01:05:12] **Frank Gaffney:** Thank you, Twila, and I'm sorry that you're not with us live, because I know there will be questions about your campaign and how we can help make it as effective as possible. We'll look forward to further visiting with you personally

about that and talking about it with others who will be with us. We're going to hear [01:05:30] next, also via video from one of our most important contributors in these sovereignty summits. I think he's participated in almost all of them. His name is Alex Newman. He is the president of Liberty Sentinel Media. He's an award winning international journalist, educator, author, speaker, investor the radio, TV host and author twice, including [01:06:00] of *Indoctrinating Our Children to Death*. Alex is a polymath, as far as I'm concerned, a man of formidable intellect who has given a lot of thought to what the globalist agenda is about and what it has in mind for all of us. We've asked him to drill down on something that I think has been mentioned in passing, but we wanted to get a fuller analysis of it. This program [01:06:30] called *One Health*, the very nature of it, the threat that it poses, not least to states rights and ours. Let's go to the video with Alex Newman at this point.

[01:06:45] **Alex Newman:** Hey, guys. Thank you. It's a great honor to be here. I really appreciate it. I'll try to go as quickly as I can just because we have limited time. I was asked to speak about one health, and I think one health needs to be understood as an effort to redefine health care in collectivist terms, as one [01:07:00] element of it, and also to bring back a pagan style worldview in terms of humanity's understanding of its purpose and its place in the universe. So it's very, very dangerous. On at least those two fronts, plenty of others as well. But I want to just focus on those two. And when I say collectivism, I don't just mean in the communist sense, where the interests and the rights of an individual have to be subordinated to the collective or the people, as they used to say. Under this one health notion, the individual's interests and rights have to be subordinated, not just to the people, but also to these nebulous notions of Mother Earth, the [01:07:30] environment, ecosystems, climate, etc., and the supposed interests of other living organisms. And so by doing this, health broadly understood then becomes the pretext for virtually any tyrannical policy one could imagine. One that's very obvious here is the climate change thing. They're arguing that CO₂, the gas we exhale, is dangerous toxic pollution.

[01:07:50] **Alex Newman:** From a from a scientific perspective. Obviously, that's totally absurd. Human emissions of CO₂ make up a fraction of a fraction of a percent of all the greenhouse gases naturally in the atmosphere. [01:08:00] But because every human activity results in CO₂ emissions, and CO₂ emissions allegedly cause climate change, and climate change is allegedly bad for your health. Therefore, every regulation, every

control on human activity now is not just about saving the climate, it's about one health. It's about preserving the health of the ecosystem, the animals and the planet. Now, I actually had the opportunity to talk to a very, very top level UN World Health Organization official about this very issue. At [01:08:30] the most recent UN climate summit, I was in Azerbaijan, and I'm going to share that video with you so you guys can see what she said when I asked her about this idea that climate change was actually a public health issue. So let me play that for you. You can see what she had to say.

[01:08:46] **Alex Newman:** So we've heard a lot, including from the director general of the W.H.O. that climate change is a public health crisis. Could you explain to us how that works and what does that mean for policy?

[01:08:55] **Maria Neira:** What that means is that climate change represents a global warming. [01:09:00] And global warming creates perfect conditions for certain diseases transmitted by mosquitoes, for instance, like malaria or dengue. To have better conditions in those vector borne diseases will have an increase. Same for water related diseases. Water borne diseases. When you have a drought, or if you have a, you know, a meteorological, you know, weather, extreme weather event, you might change as well the precipitation pattern, you might change the ecosystems. And that again will create the conditions for diseases [01:09:30] related to water scarcity like diarrheal diseases or cholera outbreaks and all of that. Of course, if you touch the production of food because you have an extreme weather event and you cannot cultivate, you have a cultural production, you will have impact, negative impact on Nutrition. If you the combustion of fossil fuels that are responsible for the global warming creates as well air pollution and that air pollution, every year we have 7 million premature deaths by the [01:10:00] bad quality of the air we breathe. So there are many things that are touched the pillars of our health, the quality of air, the quality of water, the shelter and the production of food are shaped by the actually, the climate change aggravates or multiply those threats to our public health.

[01:10:19] **Alex Newman:** So that was Maria Neira. She was the director of the World Health Organization's program on Climate change and Public Health, one of the top officials there. And I'm telling you [01:10:30] folks, what she just explained there is that everything having to do with climate change is now a health issue. That's why the secretary general at that UN climate meeting said climate change is the most urgent

health issue we are facing. So think of all the tyranny they brought in during Covid under the guise of saving us from this alleged health issue. Now imagine that everything you do is somehow bad for the health of the ecosystem, which is bad for the health of the planet. Now, the World Health Organization describes One Health this way on their website. They say [01:11:00] by linking humans, animals and the environment, One Health can help to address the full spectrum of disease control from prevention to detection, preparedness, response and management, and contribute to global health security. They also said that One Health seeks to sustainably balance and optimize the health of people, animals and ecosystems. So folks, as they were doing this, this one health program, they were systematically in their international agreements, including the pandemic agreement, systematically eliminating references to human dignity, to human freedom. And [01:11:30] there's a reason for that, right? One of the interesting things about the One Health high level expert panel, which is driving this crazy train, they have way more veterinarians than they have experts on human health, just four out of about two dozen of these people actually have training in human medicine.

[01:11:47] **Alex Newman:** Many of them have training in veterinary medicine.

Obviously, humans are not animals, and it should go without saying that humans and human health are dealt with differently than animals. Right. We throw critters into a cage, we [01:12:00] slaughter animals if they're sick. We don't do that to people. Why are they doing this? Why are they blurring the lines? It's very strategic. Now, if you look at the other members of this one health high level expert panel, you've got senior members of the Chinese Communist Party, including the former head of the Chinese CCP, CDC. You've got multiple folks there with strong connections to Peter Daszak and the EcoHealth Alliance of Fauci fame. Okay, so these are very, very dangerous people. And unfortunately, all of this has now been embedded in the bureaucracy [01:12:30] in our institutions here in the United States, in our public health bureaucracies, in our academic institutions. I just looked at CDC still has a whole bunch of stuff about this one health. They define it as a collaborative, multisectoral and transdisciplinary approach, working at the local, regional, national and global levels with the goal of achieving optimal health outcomes, recognizing the interconnection between people, animals, plants and their shared environment.

[01:12:54] **Alex Newman:** So folks, they are telling you what they're doing. And again, this is going to underpin massive [01:13:00] levels of tyranny. And all you have to do is

look back into the history of the people who've been promoting this. If you look at the Club of Rome, for example, an alliance of communist globalist population control nuts and New Agers, they declared back in 1991, they were searching for a new enemy to unite us, that the real enemy was humanity itself because of the environment. You see how this works? Some of the luminaries of this movement, like Obama's science czar, John Holdren of Ecoscience fame, he wrote in his 1977 book with some of the key people involved in this movement [01:13:30] that to save the environment, which now again applies to human health, we should consider forced abortions, which he said could be sustained under our Constitution, a planetary regime with International Armed Force to control humanity. He proposed adding sterilizing agents to the water supply to prevent unwanted births. He proposed forced sterilization of people who would contribute to social deterioration. Now the organization element here is very, very significant. We're not going to have time to get into it.

[01:13:58] **Alex Newman:** But of course, the Judeo-Christian [01:14:00] worldview based in the Holy Scriptures holds that God created man as the pinnacle of this creation. He put man in charge of the planet and the animals, and so the earth and everything in it and on it are here for the glory of God and the benefit of man. By contrast, the paganistic, atheistic and pantheistic worldviews that animate this one health idea Gaia worship, Mother Earth, New Age hold that man is just one meaningless component in this broader system with no real transcendent value. And I've watched this happen. I was in at the UN climate summit in Egypt, [01:14:30] where all these religious leaders unveiled the new Ten Commandments that hold that we need to serve this interdependent and life giving earth that compassion means feeling the pain of Mother Earth. So I'll say it again, folks. Even if one health was good or desirable because it comes from the W.H.O., it needs to be rejected. Trump did a great thing by getting us out of the W.H.O., but this one health stuff is already embedded in our institutions, and the whole notion is wicked needs to be pulled up from the roots and thrown in the trash. Trump can [01:15:00] lead the way and get it done. Thanks guys, and God bless you.

[01:15:03] **Frank Gaffney:** Thank you Alex, as always. Organization I think, is a term that we're going to have to spend some time mulling and discussing here momentarily before we do. We have two more distinguished presenters. We're very appreciative of their patience in letting us get through as much content as we have here, and we've

asked them both to do, in their respective ways, some synthesizing of what's been described and [01:15:30] assessing where we go from here. The first of the two is Doctor Andrea Nazarenko. She is a very active contributor to the public policy deliberations on all of this, notably as a community and quantitative psychologist. She is the co-founder of the Inspired Network, which is a coordinated action for network for healthcare system [01:16:00] improvement. The privilege of being present for a marvelous program that she put together on the margins of the Rose meeting in Geneva last year, in which they foisted upon us all the International Health Regulations Amendment Treaty that Reggie spoke of earlier, put together an amazing group of people and is a thought leader on all of this. And we're delighted to have a chance to get her thoughts at this moment. Andrea, over to you. [01:16:30] Thank you for joining us.

[01:16:31] **Dr. Andrea Nazarenko:** Thank you so much, Frank. And I am so excited to be part of this sixth sovereignty summit. Because really, it's a it has a little bit of a different flair than the five that preceded it, in that we finally have our leaders in positions. We've always been fighting externally to exit the Who, to fight globalist control. And now we finally have Trump in office fighting for America again. We [01:17:00] have a Congress that, for the first time in years, has actually has people willing to lead us. And we have RFK Jr bringing real change to public health as the secretary of HHS. And perhaps most excitingly, as many of the panelists have described, we have an executive order that intends to get us out of the Who. So, so much of what we have been fighting for and what we have been speaking out about has come [01:17:30] to fruition. And it is because of the steadfast advocacy of truly we the people. But ultimately, what we're talking about here, and what our victory has become is likened to a starting lineup. It's the starting lineup of a really a really big game that we must win, but we need to win the game. We can't just have a starting lineup. We have. We have the components in place and now it's time to act. In [01:18:00] fact, the biggest mistake we can make at this point in the game is complacency. It's it is the biggest mistake to believe and just trust the process, because the process that has gotten us this far has been the steadfast advocacy of the people.

[01:18:16] **Dr. Andrea Nazarenko:** Yes. Trump signed an executive order to intend to withdraw from the Who. This is a major victory and one that deserves the most respect and the most accolades. But make no mistake, our fight [01:18:30] does not end here.

As Doctor Catlin Lee mentioned at the beginning of this summit, there is a 12 month waiting period until we are officially out of the Who. A lot could happen in those 12 months, and we certainly know that the globalists do not play fair. They are not going to concede to simply an executive order saying that we intend to withdraw and they will do everything in their power, whether it's new crises, whether it's pressure on other nations to sort of push [01:19:00] us in via peer pressure back into the Who, whether it's global institutions financially retaliating against us. We don't know what cards they're going to play, but they will try to exploit the American people to get what they want. And so we must continue to be loud and clear to President Trump. There have been mentions, I believe Reggie mentioned them during her speech that potentially Trump may A renegotiate that it may [01:19:30] come down to a financial agreement. We the people do not believe the Who is a threat solely because of the financials. We want our sovereignty and we want our nation back. We want our Constitution to reign supreme. And so it does not matter what renegotiations for financial involvement occur. We have no compromises. We want to keep the US out of the Who.

[01:19:58] **Dr. Andrea Nazarenko:** End of story. [01:20:00] And so beyond that, and what leads into that is that we didn't fight this hard for a temporary exiting. And let's be honest, the next president, whoever that may be, can just as easily sign an executive order to get us back in the Who. If we do not take action right now, President Trump has served the American people with his executive order. But now we need Congress to step [01:20:30] up. We need real legislation that codifies into law a permanent removal. We cannot backpedal in four years. We cannot end up back where we started. We've made too much progress for that. We need real legislation passed. And so we have our starting lineup, but we cannot run the clock. Chris Uhlmann, earlier in this program talked in depth about the bills that are currently on the table that could [01:21:00] that could solve this problem. This is not a situation we're in where we need solutions. The solutions are in front of us. We have a house act and we have a Berizzo bill. These you can sign them, you can read them. You could learn all about them. If you go to Sovereigntycoalition.org and sign our online act, you could voice your opinion. Right now. It has been the voice of the people that has gotten us this far, and it is the voice of the people that get us across [01:21:30] the finish line. So please go to the Sovereignty Coalition website and sign the Align act to voice your support for H.R.

[01:21:40] **Dr. Andrea Nazarenko:** 54in the Barrasso bill. We cannot be complacent at this point in time. In addition to America signing out of the Who, we have to remember that America is part of a global community, and the globalist control does not stop [01:22:00] just at the Who. The Who is one arm of a much bigger system. And even with the US exiting the Who, even if we have step one completed of the executive order, and step two, even if we push and codify legislation that keeps us out permanently, we have to remember that the globalist regime will still try to influence and pressure and take away our sovereignty. And there's many ways that this could happen. The UN is going to continue to push pandemic preparedness [01:22:30] treaties that override our national sovereignty, even if we're not part of the Who. We have the world Bank tying financial aid to compliance with these Who measures. We have globalist institutions like the WEF steering private sector policies to get us to comply. The moment we step out of the Who, these entities will try new ways to bring us back through these backdoor agreements, trade policies and corporate pressure. We must fight hard. We must continue the [01:23:00] fight in a steadfast way, because these influences do not just affect us at a federal level. They do not just affect us at the level of the corporation and financials and things that are pretty macro level policies. They affect the individual. Consider for a moment when, when and if the EU passes digital health passports, Americans will suddenly be restricted in terms of travel policies.

[01:23:26] **Dr. Andrea Nazarenko:** We're already seeing facial recognition and these sorts of privacy [01:23:30] threats coming into play when we begin to travel abroad. We Twila highlighted the real serious risks of things like the real ID that could be pushed out regardless of our involvement in the Who. The Who works hand in hand with WEF, IMF, Big Tech to push censorship if other countries are complying with the freedom of information that has been restricted by the Who, we are limiting what we know in research and development [01:24:00] and the pursuit of knowledge. So even international trade agreements and business regulations could be used to force American companies to align these policies. Exiting the Who is phase one. Phase two is serving as a player in the global community to help other nations step out of the globalist agenda. We see nations like Brazil right now who are victim to the globalist regime, who wants to take over this resource rich [01:24:30] nation, climate control, climate justice, all of that. That which was just talked about previously on the summit plays a role in why they are still, to this day, forcing vaccination for Covid 19 on all children six months and up. Parents are losing parental rights, being fined tens of

thousands of dollars for simply rejecting the vaccination on their babies. This is what the globalists want for the world. And unless we step [01:25:00] up as a global community and support other nations in exiting the Who, as more and more are following our lead, we will be stuck back into their intertwined network of global policies.

[01:25:14] **Dr. Andrea Nazarenko:** It is not. Freedom is not an island. And if we want to stay free, we have to help other nations break free as well. So today, as we begin to wrap up this sovereignty summit, I'm calling on a reactivation of efforts. The fight did not end [01:25:30] when Trump signed the executive order. In fact, the fight just began. We must push Congress to pass H.R. 54 in the Barrasso Act. You could do so again. I will repeat over and over again, go to the Sovereignty coalition.org and sign our line act to have your voice be heard, because it's your voice that got us this far. We need to continue to expose the global agenda. The Who is just one piece of the puzzle, albeit a big piece, but it is just one piece and we must [01:26:00] continue to keep them on guard. Let them know that our guard has not gone down, and we will continue to fight until our sovereignty is returned, and we must continue to build alliances with other nations fighting the same battle. If we stand together, if we refuse to compromise, if we if we continue to put pressure on Trump to follow through with this executive order and get Congress to codify into law our exiting, we will all win. But we cannot stop the efforts. Not now [01:26:30] and not ever. So let's finish what we started, because we, the people will be the ones to cross the finish line. Thank you very much.

[01:26:39] **Frank Gaffney:** Thank you. What a wonderful call to action. And as I say, synthesis of so many of the key points that were made by previous speakers, we've asked our favorite cleanup batter to join us to build on what you've just said, with some further thoughts about where we [01:27:00] as citizens can play a role, not just at the federal level, as we've been discussing primarily, but also at the state level. He happens that so our guest, Ron Armstrong, is very active at the state level, notably in his native state of Michigan. He runs the founded and is president of Stand Up Michigan. He's also a founding [01:27:30] member of our Sovereignty Coalition, also our Save America's Military coalition, and a leader in a wonderful grassroots networking effort known as front liners. And we deeply appreciate both his patience and helping us get to this point, but also his expertise in further integrating the various insights and action [01:28:00] items that need now to be taken on in very much the way that Andrea has just

described. Ron Armstrong, thank you again for your great help in this coalition and so much more. Floor is here, sir.

[01:28:13] **Ron Armstrong:** Thank you. Frank. I just I guess, want to say Andrea did an incredible job of pretty much inspiring and letting us know both where we are and what we need to do and not be complacent. And I guess I just want to I want to kind of re highlight that. And I want to [01:28:30] help everyone understand. I know sometimes when you listen to a bunch of experts discussing everything from the bird flu to policy to actions that need to be taken, oftentimes you are saying, what can we do? And Andrea did point directly to, again, the Sovereignty Summit and the Sovereignty coalition.org websites in order for you to participate in these allinrk campaigns. I'll just mention to you that the Alina campaigns, if you haven't participated, are very easy. And what they basically do is they allow us to set up [01:29:00] a campaign to explain what it is we're doing, but to pre-prepare emails that you will. Then you'll fill in your information where you're from and, and who you are. The reason that that's important and your address is it identifies who your congressmen are, your senators are. And then it is specifically this message. An email will go directly to your congressman and your senators with again a pre-written email, which you'll be able to see once you hit the next button in each of the [01:29:30] each of the prompts. The other thing it does is it takes that and streams lies that same message down into a Facebook message that you can then literally copy and hit the word next again and it will populate that, it will copy it if you will go to your Facebook page and hit paste and it will paste the message, it'll paste the Align Act campaign itself directly into your feed.

[01:29:52] **Ron Armstrong:** It will do the same with your Twitter or X account. And so it in a matter of two minutes before we do it, I always go on and take action [01:30:00] myself, and I do that to make sure everything's properly working, but more importantly, to be able to tell you how quick and easy it is. And I was able to send an email. I got an email response from a senator saying they received my email. I was able to post to my Facebook and to my ex account, all in about two to 2.5 minutes. So this is not asking a lot. You don't have to write it. You don't have to remember what you heard today. It basically does all of that for you. But the most important thing is, is we have a consistent message that is going to each and every elected official. [01:30:30] Many of them, we assume, because they're elected, have the knowledge and information that is necessary in order for them to pass the bills or take action that we think needs to be taken. But as

you know, there are so many issues that matter to them. And many of them, they just don't see the urgency. Over the last couple of years, we have educated, informed and activated many elected officials to make a difference in, in really to have us get out of the World Health Organization when we had no control, if you will, of the Senate or [01:31:00] the presidency.

[01:31:01] **Ron Armstrong:** And the House did pass legislation before, but now we have a new Congress, which means we have to repass that legislation again. We talk about H.R. 54. We know that we because they've passed it before. There was no excuse for them not to pass it. Our understanding is this will be passed in again in the reconciliation process. There will probably be a CR taking us up until September is what it looks like. President Trump is backing that. It sounds like both the House and the Senate are [01:31:30] going to follow suit based on the direction of the president, in order for us to basically pass new funding for the following year, which will not include any funding for the World Health Organization, it will include this, this HR 54, it will certainly we hopefully include the same language at the Senate level. We did have the 49 senators when that's how many we had in the past cycle, who signed on to a letter saying that we should have no obligation to follow any so-called [01:32:00] agreement accord, whatever it is, or treaty, which of course, they voted to not treat it as a treaty. But we all know that's what it is. It needs to have consent by the Senate.

[01:32:10] **Ron Armstrong:** And so it is important for us to have them pass the legislation. We know there's nothing new under the sun. I think it started out with Chris, and then I think Andrea backed this up, that what we have seen happen over the last couple of years is we saw a president who removed us from the World Health Organization, and we then [01:32:30] saw and by the way, that was an executive order, but all the funding had been in place. It continued to have the people in place. We continue to use your tax dollars to subsidize. So that's the enemy within to literally subsidize internationally the ability to have a workaround or circumvent the Constitution and the individual rights and liberties of the people of America. We witnessed it during Covid. We've witnessed it again since then. And their goal both when it comes to transparency, when it comes to censorship. And they know that if they control the health, they control [01:33:00] every aspect of not just your life, but that of your children as well. That is where we are today. You heard, I think, specifically from others who talk specific, Alex, who talked about the sterilization and other things, the one health

approach and I think what we're really talking about here is a this effort, all of these efforts are an anti-American. But most importantly, they are an anti-God. They are an anti-constitution effort. That is, [01:33:30] again, if they can circumvent our Constitution, they can control the people of the world.

[01:33:34] **Ron Armstrong:** That is the goal. We know with AI, we know with some of the things that have been done, that is their intentions. They're not going to stop with what they're doing. And this we have assembled an amazing team. And again, Andrea mentioned it. I was going to use the same sports analogy. So I'll be the third one here. But basically, we have gone out and we have hired a Hall of Fame coach. We've got our president back in place. He has now put together a team, an incredible team of people [01:34:00] that are now cabinet members, all of which have been confirmed. If six months ago we were told that we would have someone, you know, like RFK Jr heading up HHS, we would just we think it's a dream. We have to pinch ourselves to believe that that's real. All of those are important actions. We look at what we've got with Kash Patel, we look at Bondi, we look at all of these. Pete Hegseth, the Department of Defense, we look at what we've done at the woke and the executive orders that have been taken. What hasn't happened yet is we have not seen actual action by our legislature. [01:34:30] We have had lip service, we have had consent and agree. And what it is the president's doing. He has a mandate. He is using that mandate. The people of America are strongly in favor. We saw what happened in this latest speech. Anywhere from 74, 76% agree with the direction that he's taking.

[01:34:48] **Ron Armstrong:** They agree with Doge and reducing, again the costs and funding of areas. And so when we get down to this World Health Organization, understand it is one of the two, I believe, most important areas [01:35:00] that we have to codify in legislation and law. Now, does our Constitution already give us those rights? It does, but we have also seen our legislature, our elected leaders literally work around and basically then hold us accountable to unelected bureaucrats from around the world who seek to control each and every aspect of your life. We seek a going back to the founding of our country, our constitutional rights, freedoms and liberties that exist. We want to talk about our natural rights again, those God given rights [01:35:30] that you should have as an individual, as a business owner, as a parent in regard to what happens to you and your children and your life. And so we are pushing right now. We're asking you to take action with us, to not just take the action, but to share this with

everybody in your feed, everyone in your text feed, everyone you run into. Because what we know is that elected officials today, more than ever, are reacting to us and as people taking back the control of our government, and when we do that, we win.

[01:36:00] They know that we are listening, they know we're watching, and they know that they have to take the action. I believe they will.

[01:36:05] **Ron Armstrong:** I believe Speaker Johnson is on board with this. I believe that the Senate will follow suit. But do I believe it's going to be easy? Do I believe it's a slam dunk? I do not until these bills are passed, until we codify these so that we do not need to worry about a simple signature signed by the next president, who returns us to the tyranny that was intended upon us, and we will never return. [01:36:30] By the way, if we were to go down this road one more time, if Kamala had been elected, our republic will fall. That is how close we are, how close we were, and unless we codify this in law, it's where we will return. I believe that God has spared his life. I believe that he has given us an opportunity. The question he's asking us right now is what will we do with that opportunity? I'm asking that of you. I'm asking that of the people around you because I know that each and every one of you care about these issues. I'm asking [01:37:00] you to take that action to share this. We will share this entire entirety of the summit, as well as the other summits are there for people to listen to as a podcast type format. But most importantly, we need hundreds of thousands, if not millions of people to send a message to your elected representatives that we need to get these codified into law and to protect us moving forward as a country. Thank you, Frank.

[01:37:23] **Frank Gaffney:** Ron Armstrong, fabulous as always. Closing. I did want to mention a couple of things [01:37:30] about this program and both what it is and what it isn't. It is an opportunity to update all of you about where this fight stands now. And it is extraordinarily important, as you've heard from, particularly our last two presenters that we not rest on our laurels. We take advantage of the opportunity [01:38:00] that President Trump's election and the repudiation, really by the American people in the 2024 election of the globalist agenda, which, whether it was on the ballot explicitly or not, was very much in play. You can be sure what this program is not is an internationally focused stocktaking, if you will. I [01:38:30] did want to, though, mention one of our great friends and allies in the state of Israel, Jonathan Segev has chimed in and brought to our attention that on Monday of next week one of America's key allies, the state of Israel, will be having a full debate in its parliament, known as the Knesset, in

which the proposition will be addressed as to [01:39:00] whether Israel should join the United States, Argentina and other nations in extricating itself formally from the World Health Organization and the globalist clutches that it is trying to advance. And I just want to add a personal note on this. I think one of the things that has been so helpful in terms of the participation of Yonatan [01:39:30] and others in Israel and other members of the Knesset included in previous summits, has been that there has been an appreciation, reinforced, of course, by what international organizations like the International Criminal Court, the International Court of Justice and various UN agencies, Unrra among them. To Israel, that just underscores how explicitly, [01:40:00] really in the crosshairs of the globalists the Jewish state is.

[01:40:05] **Frank Gaffney:** And so we very strongly hope that members of the Knesset will see the importance of doing, as President Trump has done here. And as we strongly commend to members of our Parliament, our Congress, that they enshrine in law in the many ways that have been described by our previous speakers. We have time for a few minutes' worth of Q&A before our [01:40:30] hard stop at four, and I just wanted to say to those of our speakers who are still with us, thank you for remaining so we can fine tune some of the points that have been made. Chris Uhlmann, I want to start with you. You gave us a very comprehensive description of the sentiment in the Congress. I wanted to ask you about something that I think Ron talked about towards the end, namely, this amazing degree [01:41:00] of support in the last Congress. All 49 Republican senators formally expressing their determination to fight what the W.H.O. was up to and to insist on an opportunity to vote on and vote down the W.H.O. treaties. I would like to thank both the pandemic treaty of the future and [01:41:30] the one that is now upon us, the International Health Regulation Treaty. I know our friend Joe Gebbia, who could not be with us today was instrumental in helping Senator Ron Johnson get signatures on that letter, as were you of the Eagle Forum and so many others. And attorneys general and governors as well. Talk a little bit about whether [01:42:00] we can expect that there will be a similar solidarity on this question of extricating ourselves from the World Health Organization in the United States Senate. And you might also just emphasize the point that's been made by Andrea about the Barrasso bill in that connection as well.

[01:42:24] **Kris Ullman:** Yes. Well, the very exciting thing about the Barrasso bill is that it is not just limited to the pandemic [01:42:30] treaty, but it's a limited or it is expanded

to anything that comes out of the World Health Organization that has to do with pandemic preparedness or response. And that was, you know, Senator Johnson had drafted his bill last year. Way before, we had the understanding that the W.H.O. was going to try to change the name of the document to say it wasn't a treaty. And so the beauty of the Barrasso bill is that it makes clear that anything that deals with [01:43:00] pandemic preparedness is considered a treaty and needs to have the advice and consent of the Senate. So that is great. The other great thing is, of course, we've picked up five new senators on the Republican side. And those that are new at least the ones that well, the ones that replaced other ones and the new ones that we've added seem to be of a more conservative ilk in that they would [01:43:30] support at least requiring the Senate to vote. Jim Banks Bernie Moreno from Ohio, the other new senator from Ohio. They seem like the type. I haven't talked to any of them individually, but based on their other voting record, they seem like they would be with us on that now.

[01:43:51] **Kris Ullman:** What we've seen since President Trump was inaugurated was that the Trump Derangement Syndrome seems to have spread worse [01:44:00] than Covid 19 and the bird flu combined. And is quite deadly here in DC. So those senators on the Democratic side, who may have been inclined to take a look at this, I think are going to be pushed very hard by their leadership to not break ranks, to not give Trump any ability to get anything done. And, you know, their leadership at least is really supportive of this globalist [01:44:30] agenda. So I think that is why going the reconciliation bill route, the bill that only requires 50 Votes is the way to go. And so what we need to do is we need to sign that align act, and also reach out to your senators and say, I support this going into reconciliation. If this is in the House bill, we want the Senate to approve it. Please support it. And that's [01:45:00] why that effort is so important, because we would only need 51 votes and we have the 51 votes now in the Senate. We don't have 60. But we do have 51.

[01:45:13] **Frank Gaffney:** You know, as you're speaking, Chris, it occurs to me that we need an even smaller number, and there's certainly plenty for that to defeat these treaties. Starting, I would hope, with the International Health Regulation treaty, it just requires a third of the Senate plus [01:45:30] one to reject a treaty as part of the framers of the Constitution's genius in understanding that the Senate would be a quality control mechanism on these international agreements that could be very corrosive to our sovereignty and our national institutions of this republic. So that's another option that I

hope will be exercised. Reggie Littlejohn. You heard, of course, what was said after you [01:46:00] spoke by Twyla about real IDs. Others have mentioned this in connection with the digital gulag that you've warned about so effectively. I don't know that we actually touched on the issue of central bank digital currencies. But I know this is another of your grave concerns. I believe President Trump has indicated he's not interested in going down that road. But could you talk about how that fits [01:46:30] in? Should it come to pass somehow into this well incarceration of us all in the digital gulag.

[01:46:40] **Reggie Littlejohn:** So the issue with digital IDs. The real IDs is that they provide the infrastructure for the digital IDs that are being promoted by the World Economic Forum, and you can go on to the World Economic Forum website and see exactly what they think that everybody should have a digital ID for. [01:47:00] So these real IDs are going to be digital. And as, as Twyla has said, they're going to be on our phones and they're and according to what the digital ID chart on the World Economic Forum website, they're going to be required for having health care insurance voting, collecting government benefits, having a bank account, having a communications device like a cell phone or a laptop participating [01:47:30] in social media, buying and selling online. So these are a method of total control. And the digital ID or the real ID is a form of digital, or at least a precursor to it. So I agree with her that we need to resist this. Now, what I've been calling the digital gulag is a confluence between the digital ID and it could be a central bank, digital currency, or it could be any [01:48:00] digital currency. So the thing that's concerning is President Trump said that he's opposed to any central bank digital currencies, but he's not excluded any other form of digital currency.

[01:48:14] **Reggie Littlejohn:** Any form of digital currency can be used as a as a tool of mass surveillance and total control, because when you use a digital currency, you know, whoever it is, whether it's the government in a central bank, digital currency, or whether [01:48:30] it's a private company which these private companies can be pressured by the government to do things. And we saw that in the case of, you know, the government pressuring Facebook to be censoring certain things. So even if it's even if it's a private digital currency, it can be used, it can be turned off if you get out of line just the same as the Chinese social credit system. Or it can be used to enforce whatever climate control, climate change, carbon credit issues [01:49:00] that they might have. You know, let's say that you're only allowed one flight a year and you take it

and then you want to take another flight. Your digital currency won't buy a plane ticket. And there's all kinds of forms of manipulation for this. So when you put these together, these digital IDs with the central bank, digital currencies or any digital currency then basically humanity is facing enslavement in a digital gulag.

[01:49:25] **Frank Gaffney:** Andrea, you have had experiences I mentioned in connection [01:49:30] with the shared experience with what went down in the course of the World Health Assemblies meeting in Geneva. On the margins of which you did your inspired network event. We witnessed there something you talked about, which is the fact that the globalists don't play fair. And I wondered if you could just project on the upcoming treaty that [01:50:00] they are determined. It appears to get done in time for the next World Health Assembly meeting at the end of May to ram that thing through come hell or high water as they did the Ear treaty. How likely is it? We'll see that. And does that really powerfully reinforce the point that while some say no, no, no, you should stay inside this process so you can, you know, try to make it come out right, that in fact, [01:50:30] that is a vain hope. We don't have a veto and we get implicated in it if we are there. Is that a reasonable prognosis? As you see it.

[01:50:41] **Dr. Andrea Nazarenko:** The globalists are going to do what the globalists are going to do. And when we first started to go to Geneva, I'm not going to lie to you, I didn't think we had any. I was throwing the event, and I had a belief that they were going to do what they were going [01:51:00] to do, and we showed up in Geneva for the purpose of making their vote obsolete. So as we promoted this event, as we went out there, we said, hey, we're out there, they're voting on this pandemic treaty, they're voting on the IHR amendments. This was a major week. And the purpose of us showing up. And when I say we showed up for those who were not part of the Geneva project or the We Are the Change rally, people showed up from the United States, from all across Eastern and Western Europe, from Canada, from Mexico, from India, [01:51:30] from Japan. I mean Australia and New Zealand. It was a true global connection. Everyone from, you know, all of these major countries, Brazil, we showed up in unity and we showed up for the purpose of saying, this is our world. Whatever you guys decide, we are going to create the world we want to see, and we are saying no to your globalist agenda. And what happened when we were [01:52:00] in Geneva was so far greater than I could have ever imagined, because they sat behind those walls and they felt our pressure.

[01:52:09] **Dr. Andrea Nazarenko:** So they. It may be the case that often we feel powerless as individuals. I'm just an individual mom and individual dad, just a citizen of the United States. Who am I to use my voice and to show up? But if you go back through the videos, there are actual videos of Tedros talking about the rally that was happening outside. [01:52:30] He felt the pressure of the world coming together and putting the pressure on him in those moments, and we destroyed their ability to come to an agreement in those days. Now, did we kick the can down the road? We certainly did. They're back. They're voting again. It is not a battle that's over. But what we did demonstrate is that the globalists feel our pressure. We are not silent voices. We are not succumbing to their power. They might have power and money and [01:53:00] control, but we are the 8 billion people of the world. And when we say no, they will listen to us. At minimum, we could destroy their plans of negotiations. We know that because we've proved it to be true. And so these summits and these rallies and the signing of the A line act, I'll plug it again. Every single voice matters. And it sounds Cliché, but we have evidence that even the most powerful globalists in the world are hearing [01:53:30] us.

[01:53:30] **Dr. Andrea Nazarenko:** And quite frankly, they're threatened by us because on that day, June 1st of 2024, we messed up their negotiations. And if we did it once, we could do it again. And we could keep doing it until we the people, the 8 billion people of the world stand together and say sovereignty matters. So yeah, they will play dirty. They'll play ugly. We will always continue to be vigilant. But we're playing a game. And when they push, we could [01:54:00] push back for years, for decades we've played the defense and for the first time in history we have an offensive lineup. So I appreciate Ron calling out my sports analogies, because I really think they're the best ones to work. But truly, we have an offensive lineup now, and when we organize and strategize and identify all of their plays and study their playbook. We could truly begin to score [01:54:30] some goals and put them on the defense. So I think, yeah, they're going to play dirty. To answer your question, they're going to pull all the plays. But we already know we have a solid defense and we don't actually know how solid their defense is because we've never had the strength of an offensive team that we do now. No.

[01:54:50] **Frank Gaffney:** Thank you, Andrea. And your sports vigor as well as your analogies are so appreciated. Ron, lastly to you and just again, [01:55:00] a concluding

comment, I was so struck by your emphasis on the spiritual warfare dimension of all of this. I don't think that that can be overstated in terms of its importance. When we look at what they have in mind for our country and it has its imperfections, to be sure. But on the other hand, it is, I think, the last, best hope for mankind and a source of goodness in the world, especially when we are living [01:55:30] up to our duties in protecting freedom here and elsewhere. I know I can speak for Yonatan and Israel and countless others around the world. They've looked to us for inspiration in these fights to date. I think I can also say that the, the, the impact, as Andrea was just talking about, of the voices of the people, [01:56:00] it certainly weighed on these I think it was 26 attorneys general, if memory serves me correctly, maybe 24 and ultimately 26 governors across the country as they stood with us as those 49 senators in opposition to the W.H.O. So all of these are important, I think, indicators of the forces of good on the marches. Andrea [01:56:30] said, could you just close us out with, well, maybe a word of prayer, but also an appreciation of that spiritual warfare dimension?

[01:56:41] **Ron Armstrong:** I certainly will, Frank. I think the, the, the thing that comes to mind is I remember in when Covid began and they shut down the churches. It was a goal always that they had. It was it was something that I think personally made [01:57:00] me ask the question, why are we not the solution that that that we seek in this in this time? Why are we closing the doors to our church? Because government has somehow told us to an international National bureaucratic group of even more than that. And yet what it really did was it exposed the weakness within our church today. And when I say the church, it's the people who lead the churches today. What I have witnessed in the last number of [01:57:30] years is an awakening. Awakening not just of many of the people that that make up the body, I think. But I think we've seen young people who have risen up through this process. They lost many of their educational opportunities. They were locked down. They didn't understand. And I think they have awakened to a spiritual awakening, if you will. And the great news is, again, as we've mentioned several times, their constitution hasn't changed. The unalienable [01:58:00] rights again. Liberty is not freedom. Liberty is a natural right. It is something given to us by God. And our founding fathers didn't want any regulations, any laws, any rules over individuals or people outside of those that God should give us the free will to choose.

[01:58:16] **Ron Armstrong:** Now, that's not where we live today. But just like in in Covid when they were shutting things down, my outcry to people at the time was this ends

when we say it ends. This goes to Andrea. It is when [01:58:30] we say it is over, when the people rise up and take back their communities, when they rise up and defy illegal basically actions by many of these global and international and internal people who seek to destroy what it is we stand for and who we are. So I think that where we are today is in a totally different place, and we talk about the offense and going on offense. I think that's what we have seen with President Trump. His executive orders, [01:59:00] his directions, his responses directly to the people. He's not playing politics. He is being direct and honest of saying to Hamas, either release them or Israel is going to basically wipe you off the face of the earth, and they're going to be free to do so. Basically seek shelter if you don't do what you're told. I think that what we're seeing right now is, is an emboldening of the people. And, and with that being on offense others aren't keeping up legacy media do not call it mainstream. [01:59:30]

[01:59:30] **Ron Armstrong:** It has never been mainstream. It is a psyop. I think Robert Malone has done one of the greatest jobs of discussing the PsyOps and how the CIA, FBI has used this around the world and has now weaponized it against their own people. People have not changed. Only times change. And what we have seen is often people have conformed and consented. And we certainly wish that that doesn't happen going forward. So I would just in closing, I would just ask that [02:00:00] each and every one of you say a prayer that the wisdom would continue to be delivered to our leaders, that the strength would continue to be delivered to the individuals and the people of America and the people of the world, and that we would not be prideful, that we would be repentant of the mistakes that we've made, that we would look to again, helping the people that are outside of us, those that do not have the knowledge and information that we have, and that together we can be stronger, we can return [02:00:30] to our founding principles, and we can again be that light, that beacon of light for others around the world seeking freedom and liberty going forward. So I appreciate all of you joining us. And thank you, Frank.

[02:00:44] **Frank Gaffney:** Amen. Shining city on the Hill. Thank you so much. To Kat Lindley to Summer Ingram to Chris Uhlmann to Peter McCullough to Reggie Littlejohn, Marla Bryce, Alex Newman, Andrea Nazarenko and Ron [02:01:00] Armstrong. For extraordinary contributions to this sixth sovereignty summit. I want to echo the urging that you've heard throughout the program to join us at Sovereignty Coalition. Org, specifically by signing the Align act, as well as sharing this remarkable video. When it is

produced and posted there in the very near future. In the meantime, God bless you all. Thank [02:01:30] you. Let's roll.

[02:01:31] **Dede Laugesen:** Thank you Frank. A video of this webinar will be posted to SovereigntySummit.Org and Sovereigntycoalition.org within a day or so of the conclusion of our program. Please share this and our other programs with your elected representatives, colleagues and other networks and subscribe to our Substack at sovereignty.substack.com. Follow us on X at @SovCoalition for updates. Thanks [02:02:00] for joining us today and goodbye.